

ALGEBRAIC CHERN-SIMONS THEORY

SPENCER BLOCH AND HÉLÈNE ESNAULT

0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. Secondary (Chern-Simons) characteristic classes associated to bundles with connection play an important role in differential geometry. We propose to investigate a related construction for algebraic bundles. Non-flat algebraic connections for bundles on complex projective manifolds are virtually non-existent (we know of none), and a deep theorem of Reznikov [18] implies that Chern-Simons classes are torsion for flat bundles on such spaces. On the other hand, it is possible (in several different ways, cf. [1.1] below) given a vector bundle E on X to construct an affine fibration $f : Y \rightarrow X$ (i.e. locally over X , $Y \cong X \times \mathbb{A}^n$) such that f^*E admits an algebraic connection. One can arrange moreover that Y itself be an affine variety. Since pullback f^* induces an isomorphism from the *chow motive* of X to that of Y , one can in some sense say that every algebraic variety is equivalent to an affine variety, and every vector bundle is equivalent to a vector bundle with an algebraic connection. Thus, an algebraic Chern-Simons theory has some interest. Speaking loosely, the content of such a theory is that a closed differential form τ representing a characteristic class like the chern class of a vector bundle on a variety X will be Zariski-locally exact, $\tau|_{U_i} = d\eta_i$. The choice of a connection on the bundle enables one to choose the primitives η_i canonically upto an exact form. In particular, $(\eta_i - \eta_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ is exact. When X is affine, a different choice of connection will change the η_i by a global form η .

0.2. Unless otherwise noted, all our spaces X will be smooth, quasi-projective varieties over a field k of characteristic 0. Given a bundle of rank N with connection (E, ∇) on X and an invariant polynomial P of degree n on the Lie algebra of GL_N (cf. [3]), we construct classes

$$(0.2.1) \quad w_n(E, \nabla, P) \in \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{2n-1}/d\Omega_X^{2n-2}); \quad n \geq 2.$$

The first author is supported in part by the NSF, the second one is supported in part by the DFG.

Here Ω_X^i is the Zariski sheaf of Kähler i -forms on X , and $d : \Omega_X^i \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i+1}$ is exterior differentiation. Zariski locally, these classes are given explicitly in terms of universal polynomials in the connection and its curvature. They satisfy the basic compatibility:

$dw_n(E, \nabla, P)$ is a closed $2n$ -form representing the characteristic class in de Rham cohomology associated to P by Chern-Weil theory. Note that dw_n is not necessarily exact, because w_n is not a globally defined form.

The simplest example is to take E trivial of rank 2 and to assume the connection on the determinant bundle is trivial. The connection is then given by a matrix of 1-forms $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$. Taking $P(M) = \text{Tr}(M^2)$ one finds

$$(0.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} w_2(E, \nabla, P) &= 2\alpha \wedge d\alpha - 4\alpha\beta\gamma + \beta d\gamma + \gamma d\beta \\ &\text{or, if } A \text{ is integrable,} \\ w_2(E, \nabla, P) &= -2\alpha \wedge d\alpha = -2\alpha\beta\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

One particularly important invariant polynomial P_n maps a diagonal matrix to the n -th elementary symmetric function in its entries. We write

$$(0.2.3) \quad w_n(E, \nabla) := w_n(E, \nabla, P_n)$$

For example, $P_2(M) := \frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr} M)^2 - \text{Tr}(M^2)$. In fact, when ∇ is integrable, $w_n(E, \nabla, P) = \lambda w_n(E, \nabla)$ for some coefficient $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ (see 2.3.3).

When $k = \mathbb{C}$, $w_n(E, \nabla)$ is linked to the chern class in $A^n(X)$, where $A^n(X)$ denotes the group of algebraic cycles modulo a certain adequate equivalence relation, homological equivalence on a divisor. For example, $A^2(X)$ is the group of codimension 2 cycles modulo algebraic equivalence. When $n = 2$ and X is affine, there is an isomorphism

$$(0.2.4) \quad \varphi : \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^3 / d\Omega_X^2) / \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^3) \cong A^2(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}.$$

Writing $c_{2, \text{cycle}}(E)$ for the second chern class of E in $A^2(X)$, we have

$$(0.2.5) \quad \varphi(w_2(E, \nabla)) = c_{2, \text{cycle}}(E) \otimes 1$$

0.3. Suppose now the connection ∇ on E is integrable, i.e. E is flat. Let $\mathcal{K}_i^m$ denote the Zariski sheaf, image of the Zariski Milnor K sheaf in the constant sheaf $K_i^M(k(X))$. One has a map $\text{dlog} : \mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow \Omega_{X, \text{clsd}}^i$. Functorial and additive classes

$$(0.3.1) \quad c_i(E, \nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow \Omega^i \rightarrow \Omega^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots)$$

were constructed in [8]. One has a natural map of complexes

$$(0.3.2) \quad \sigma : \{\mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow \Omega^i \rightarrow \Omega^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots\} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{2i-1}/d\Omega_X^{2i-2}[-i].$$

We prove in section 4

$$(0.3.3) \quad w_i(E, \nabla) = \sigma(c_i(E, \nabla)) \in \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{2i-1}/d\Omega_X^{2i-2}).$$

In the case of an integrable connection, the classes $w_n(E, \nabla)$ are closed. We are unable to answer the following

0.3.1. *basic question.* Are the classes $w_i(E, \nabla, P)$ all zero for an integrable connection ∇ ?

0.4. We continue to assume ∇ integrable. We take $k = \mathbb{C}$, and X smooth and projective. We define the (generalized) Griffiths group $\text{Griff}^n(X)$ to be the group of algebraic cycles of codimension n homologous to zero, modulo those homologous to zero on a divisor. (For $n = 2$, this is the usual Griffiths group of codimension 2 algebraic cycles homologous to zero modulo algebraic equivalence.) Our main result is

Theorem 0.4.1. *We have $w_n(E, \nabla) = 0$ if and only if $c_n(E) = 0$ in $\text{Griff}^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.*

The proof of this theorem is given in section 5.

The idea is that one can associate to any codimension n cycle Z homologous to zero an extension of mixed Hodge structures of $\mathbb{Q}(0)$ by $H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n))$. One gets a quotient extension

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n))/N^1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \text{Griff}^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

where N^1 is the subspace of “coniveau” 1, the group on the right has the trivial Hodge structure and where

$$E \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(\mathbb{Q}(n))).$$

Using the classes (0.3.1) and the comparison (0.3.3) we show

$$w_n(E, \nabla) \in F^0 E \cap E(\mathbb{R}).$$

Furthermore, $w_n(E, \nabla) \in E(\mathbb{C})$ maps to the class of $c_n(E)$. Since the kernel of this extension is pure of weight -1 it follows easily that $w_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow c_n = 0$. In fact, Reznikov’s theorem [18] implies

$$w_n(E, \nabla) \in E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

0.5. Through its link to the Griffiths group, it is clear that the classes $w_n(E, \nabla)$, when ∇ is integrable, are rigid in a variation of the flat bundle (E, ∇) over X . But in fact, a stronger rigidity (see 2.4.1) holds true: one can allow a 1 dimensional variation of X as well.

0.6. Examples (including Gauß-Manin systems of semi-stable families of curves, weight 1 Gauß-Manin systems, weight 2 Gauß-Manin systems of surfaces, and local systems with finite monodromy) for which the classes $w_n(E, \nabla)$ vanish are discussed in section 7.

It is possible (cf. section 7) to define $w_n(E, \nabla, P)$ in characteristic p . In arithmetic situations, the resulting classes are compatible with reduction mod p . When the bundle (E, ∇) in characteristic p comes via Gauß-Manin from a smooth, proper family of schemes over X , we show using work of Katz [15] that $w_n(E, \nabla, P) = 0$. A longstanding conjecture of Ogus [17] would imply that a class in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^n)$ in characteristic 0, (where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Zariski sheaf of de Rham cohomology), which vanished when reduced mod p for almost all p was 0. Thus, Ogus' conjecture would imply an affirmative answer to 0.3.1 for Gauß-Manin systems.

0.7. In concrete applications, one frequently deals with connections ∇ with logarithmic poles. Insofar as possible, we develop our constructions in this context (see section 6). The most striking remark is that even if ∇ has logarithmic poles, $w_n(E, \nabla)$ does not have any poles (see theorem (6.1.1)).

0.8. **Acknowledgments:** We thank E. Looijenga for making us aware of the similarity between our invariant w_2 with Witten's invariant for 3-manifolds (see [20]), and G. van der Geer for communicating [12] to us.

1. AFFINE FIBRATIONS

An affine bundle Y over a scheme X is, by definition, an $\mathcal{V}$ -torsor for some vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$. Such things are classified by $H^1(X, \mathcal{V})$. In particular, Zariski-locally, $Y \cong X \times \mathbb{A}^n$. Pullback from X to Y is an isomorphism on Chow motives, and hence on any Weil cohomology; e.g. $H_{\text{DR}}(X) \cong H_{\text{DR}}(Y)$, $H_{\text{ét}}(X) \cong H_{\text{ét}}(Y)$, etc. The following is known as “Jouanolou's trick”. We recall the argument from [14].

Proposition 1.0.1. *Let X be a quasi-projective variety. Then there exists an affine bundle $Y \rightarrow X$ such that Y is an affine variety.*

Proof. Let $X \subset \bar{X}$ be an open immersion with $\bar{X}$ projective. Let $\tilde{X}$ be the blowup of $\bar{X} - X$ on $\bar{X}$. $\tilde{X}$ is projective, and $X \subset \tilde{X}$ with complement D a Cartier divisor. Suppose we have constructed $\pi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ an affine bundle with $\tilde{Y}$ affine. Since the complement of a Cartier divisor in an affine variety is affine (the inclusion of the open is acyclic for coherent cohomology, so one can use Serre's criterion) it

follows that $\pi^{-1}(X) \rightarrow X$ is an affine bundle with $Y := \pi^{-1}(X)$ affine. We are thus reduced to the case X projective. Let $P(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be an affine bundle with $P(N)$ affine. Given a closed immersion $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, we may pull back $P(N)$ over X , so we are reduced to the case $X = \mathbb{P}^N$. In this case, one can take $Y = \mathrm{GL}_{N+1}/\mathrm{GL}_N \times \mathrm{GL}_{N+1}$. $\square$

An exact sequence of vector bundles $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ on X gives rise to an exact sequence of Hom bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E, F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E, E) \rightarrow 0$$

and so an isomorphism class of affine bundles

$$\partial(\mathrm{Id}_E) \in H^1(X, \mathrm{Hom}(E, G)).$$

Of particular interest is the Atiyah sequence. Let X be a smooth variety, and let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ be the ideal of the diagonal. Let $\mathcal{P}_X := \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I}^2$, and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

obtained by identifying $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \cong \Omega^1$ in the usual way. Note that $\mathcal{P}_X$ has two distinct $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structures, given by multiplication on the left and right. These two structures agree on Ω^1 and on $\mathcal{O}_X$. Given E a vector bundle on X , we consider the sequence (Atiyah sequence)

$$(1.0.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

The tensor in the middle is taken using the left $\mathcal{O}_X$ -structure, and then the sequence is viewed as a sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules using the *right* $\mathcal{O}_X$ -structure.

Proposition 1.0.2. *Connections on E are in 1 – 1 correspondence with splittings of the Atiyah sequence (1.0.1).*

Proof. (See [1] and [5]). As a sequence of sheaves of abelian groups, the Atiyah sequence is split by $e \mapsto e \otimes 1$. Let $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{P}_X$ be an $\mathcal{O}$ -linear splitting. Define

$$\nabla(e) := \theta(e) - e \otimes 1 \in E \otimes \Omega_X^1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(f \cdot e) &:= \theta(e) \cdot (1 \otimes f) - (e \otimes 1)(f \otimes 1) = \\ &= (1 \otimes f) \cdot \nabla(e) + (e \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1) \\ &= f \nabla(e) + df \wedge e, \end{aligned}$$

which is the connection condition. Conversely, given a connection ∇ , the same argument shows that $\theta(e) = \nabla(e) + e \otimes 1$ is an $\mathcal{O}$ -linear splitting. $\square$

Corollary 1.0.3. *Let E be a vector bundle on a smooth affine variety X . Then E admits an algebraic connection.*

Proof. An exact sequence of vector bundles on an affine variety admits a splitting. $\square$

1.1. In conclusion, given a vector bundle E on a smooth variety X , there exists two sorts of affine bundles $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that π^*E admits a connection. We can take Y to be the Atiyah torseur associated to E , in which case the connection is canonical, or we can take Y to be affine, in which case all vector bundles admit (non-canonical) connections.

2. CHERN-SIMONS

We begin by recalling in an algebraic context the basic ideas involving connections and the Chern-Weil and Chern-Simons constructions.

2.1. Connections and curvature. Let R be a k -algebra of finite type (R and k commutative with 1). A connection ∇ on a module E is a map $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k}^1$ satisfying $\nabla(f \cdot e) = e \otimes df + f \cdot \nabla e$. More generally, if $D \subset \text{Spec } R$ is a Cartier divisor, of equation f , one defines the module $\Omega_{R/k}^1(\log D)$ of Kähler 1-forms with logarithmic poles along D , as the submodule of forms w with poles along D such that $w \cdot f$ and $w \wedge df$ are regular [?]. A connection with log poles along D is a k linear map $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k}^1(\log D)$ fulfilling the Leibniz relations. When E has a global basis $E = R^N$, ∇ can be written in the form $d + A$, where A is an $N \times N$ -matrix of 1-forms. Writing $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ we have

$$\nabla(e_i) = \sum_j e_j \otimes a_{ij}.$$

The map ∇ extends to a map $\nabla : E \otimes \Omega^i \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega^{i+1}$ defined by $\nabla(e \otimes \omega) = \nabla(e) \wedge \omega + e \otimes d\omega$. The curvature of the connection is the map $\nabla^2 : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega^2$. The curvature is R -linear and is given in the case $E = R^N$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2(e_i) &= \sum_j e_j \otimes da_{ij} + \sum_{j,\ell} e_\ell \otimes a_{j\ell} \wedge a_{ij} \\ &= (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \cdot (dA - A^2). \end{aligned}$$

The curvature matrix $F(A)$ is defined by $F(A) = dA - A^2$. (Note that the definition $F(A) = dA + A^2$ is also found in the literature, e.g. in [3].)

Given $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N(R)$, let $\gamma = g^{-1}$. We can rewrite the connection $\nabla = d + A$ in terms of the basis $\epsilon_i := e_i \cdot g = (g_{i1}, \dots, g_{iN})$, replacing A and $F(A)$ by

$$(2.1.1) \quad dg \cdot g^{-1} + gAg^{-1} = -\gamma^{-1}d\gamma + \gamma^{-1}A\gamma$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad F(dg \cdot g^{-1} + gAg^{-1}) = gF(A)g^{-1}.$$

A connection is said to be integrable or flat if $\nabla^2 = 0$. For a connection on R^N this is equivalent to $F(A) = 0$.

2.2. We recall some basic ideas from [3]. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic 0, and let G be the corresponding algebraic group. (The only case we will use is $G = \mathrm{GL}_N$.) Write $\mathcal{G}^\ell := \underbrace{\mathcal{G} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{G}}_{\ell \text{ factors}}$. G acts diagonally on $\mathcal{G}^\ell$ by the adjoint action on

each factor, and an element P in the linear dual $(\mathcal{G}^\ell)^*$ is said to be invariant if it is invariant under this action. For a k -algebra R we consider the module $\Lambda^{r,\ell} := \mathcal{G}^\ell \otimes_k \Omega_{R/k}^r$ of r -forms on R with values in $\mathcal{G}^\ell$. Let x_i denote tangent vector fields, i.e. elements in the R -dual of Ω^1 . We describe two products $\wedge : \Lambda^{r,\ell} \otimes_R \Lambda^{r',\ell'} \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+r',\ell+\ell'}$ and $[\] : \Lambda^{r,1} \otimes_R \Lambda^{r',1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+r',1}$. In terms of values on tangents, these are given by

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi \wedge \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{r+r'}) = \\ & \sum_{\pi, \text{shuffle}} \sigma(\pi) \varphi(x_{\pi_1}, \dots, x_{\pi_r}) \otimes \psi(x_{\pi_{r+1}}, \dots, x_{\pi_{r+r'}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\varphi, \psi](x_1, \dots, x_{r+r'}) = \\ & \sum_{\pi, \text{shuffle}} \sigma(\pi) [\varphi(x_{\pi_1}, \dots, x_{\pi_r}), \psi(x_{\pi_{r+1}}, \dots, x_{\pi_{r+r'}})] \end{aligned}$$

Here $\sigma(\pi)$ is the sign of the shuffle. These operations satisfy the identities (for $P \in (\mathcal{G}^\ell)^*$ not necessarily invariant)

$$(2.2.3) \quad [\varphi, \psi] = (-1)^{rr'+1} [\psi, \varphi]$$

$$(2.2.4) \quad [[\varphi, \varphi], \varphi] = 0$$

$$(2.2.5) \quad d[\varphi, \psi] = [d\varphi, \psi] + (-1)^r [\varphi, d\psi]$$

$$(2.2.6) \quad d(\varphi \wedge \psi) = d\varphi \wedge \psi + (-1)^r \varphi \wedge d\psi$$

$$(2.2.7) \quad d(P(\varphi)) = P(d\varphi)$$

$$(2.2.8) \quad P(\varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \rho) = (-1)^{rr'} P(\psi \wedge \varphi \wedge \rho)$$

If P is invariant, we have in addition for $\varphi_i \in \Lambda^{r_i,1}$ and $\psi \in \Lambda^{1,1}$

$$(2.2.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{r_1+\dots+r_i} P(\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge [\varphi_i, \psi] \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{\ell}) = 0$$

By way of example, we note that if $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij})$ are matrices of 1-forms, then writing AB (or A^2 when $A = B$) for the matrix of 2-forms with entries

$$\sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} \wedge b_{\ell j}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} [A, A](x_1, x_2)_{ij} &= ([A(x_1), A(x_2)] - [A(x_2), A(x_1)])_{ij} \\ &= 2(A(x_1)A(x_2) - A(x_2)A(x_1))_{ij} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\ell} (a_{i\ell}(x_1)a_{\ell j}(x_2) - a_{i\ell}(x_2)a_{\ell j}(x_1)) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\ell} a_{i\ell} \wedge a_{\ell j}(x_1, x_2) = 2A^2(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$$

In the following, for $\varphi \in \Lambda^{r,\ell}$ we frequently write φ^n in place of $\varphi \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi$ (n -times). The signs differ somewhat from [3] because of our different convention for the curvature as explained above.

Theorem 2.2.1. [3] *Let $P \in (\mathcal{G}^{\ell})^*$ be invariant. To a matrix A of 1-forms over a ring R , we associate a matrix of 2-forms depending on a parameter t*

$$\varphi_t := tF(A) - \frac{1}{2}(t^2 - t)[A, A].$$

Define

$$(2.2.10) \quad TP(A) = \ell \int_0^1 P(A \wedge \varphi_t^{\ell-1}) dt \in \Omega_{R/k}^{2\ell-1}$$

For example, for

$$(2.2.11) \quad P(M) = \text{Tr} M^2, \quad \ell = 2, \quad TP(A) = \text{Tr}(AdA - \frac{2}{3}A^3)$$

Then $dTP(A) = P(F(A)^{\ell})$. The association $A \mapsto TP(A)$ is functorial for maps of rings $R \rightarrow S$. If $A \mapsto T'P(A)$ is another such functorial mapping satisfying

$$dT'P(A) = dTP(A) = P(F(A)^{\ell}),$$

then

$$T'P(A) - TP(A) = d\rho$$

is exact.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Prop. 3.2 of [3], noting that $\Omega(A)$ in their notation is $-F(-A)$ in ours. For the second assertion, we may assume by functoriality that R is a polynomial ring, so $H_{DR}^{2\ell-1}(R/k) = (0)$. The form $T'P(A) - TP(A)$ is closed, and hence exact. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.2. *With notation as above, let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_N(R)$, and assume $\ell \geq 2$. Then $TP(dg \cdot g^{-1} + gAg^{-1}) - TP(A)$ is Zariski-locally exact, i.e. there exists an open cover $\mathrm{Spec}(R) = \bigcup U_i$ such that the above expression is exact on each U_i .*

Proof. The property of being Zariski-locally exact is compatible under pullback, so we may argue universally. The matrix A of 1-forms (resp. the element g) is pulled back from the coordinate ring of some affine space $\mathbb{A}^m$ (resp. from the universal element in GL_N with coefficients in the coordinate ring of GL_N), so we may assume R is the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{A}^m \times \mathrm{GL}_N$.

Let η be a closed form on a smooth variety T . Let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be surjective, with S quasi-projective. Then η is locally exact on T if and only if $f^*\eta$ is locally exact on S . Indeed, given $t \in T$ we can find a section $S'' \subset S$ such that the composition $f' : S' \rightarrow T$, where $S' \rightarrow S''$ is the normalization, is finite over some neighborhood $t \in U$. Assuming $f^*\eta$ is locally exact, it follows that $f^*\eta|_{f'^{-1}(U)}$ is locally exact, and so by a trace argument (we are in characteristic zero) that $\eta|_U$ is locally exact as well.

We apply the above argument with

$$\eta = TP(dg \cdot g^{-1} + gAg^{-1}) - TP(A)$$

and $T = \mathbb{A}^m \times \mathrm{GL}_N$. As a scheme, $\mathrm{GL}_N \cong \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathrm{SL}_N$, and for some large integer M we can find a surjection $\prod_{\mathrm{finite}} \mathbb{A}^M \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_N$ by taking products of upper and lower triangular matrices with 1 on the diagonal and then taking a disjoint sum of translates. Pulling back, it suffices to show that a closed form of degree ≥ 2 on $\mathbb{A}^{M+m} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ is exact. This is clear. $\square$

2.3. Construction. Let E be a vector bundle of rank N on a smooth quasi-projective variety X . Let P be an invariant polynomial as above of degree n on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{GL}_N$. Suppose given a connection ∇ on E . (Such a connection exists when X is affine because the Atiyah sequence splits) Let $X = \bigcup U_i$ be an open affine covering such that $E|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus N}$, and let A_i be the matrix of 1-forms corresponding to $\nabla|_{U_i}$. The class of $TP(A_i) \in \Gamma(U_i, \Omega^{2n-1}/d\Omega^{2n-2})$ is independent of

the choice of basis for $E|_{U_i}$ by 2.2.2. It follows that these classes glue to give a global class

$$(2.3.1) \quad w_n(E, \nabla, P) \in \Gamma(X, \Omega^{2n-1}/d\Omega^{2n-2})$$

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let E be a rank N -vector bundle on a smooth affine variety X . Let ∇ and ∇' be two connections on E . Let P be an invariant polynomial of degree n . Then there exists a form*

$$\eta \in \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{2n-1})$$

such that

$$w_n(E, \nabla, P) - w_n(E, \nabla', P) \equiv \eta \bmod(d\Omega^{2n-2})$$

Proof. Because X is affine, any affine space bundle $Y \rightarrow X$ admits a section. (An affine space bundle is a torseur under a vector bundle.) Thus, we may replace X by an affine space bundle over X . Since X is affine, E is generated by its global sections, so we may find a Grassmannian G and a map $X \rightarrow G$ such that E is pulled back from G . We may find an affine space bundle $Y \rightarrow G$ with Y affine. Replacing X with $X \times_G Y$, which is an affine bundle over X , we may assume E pulled back from a bundle F on Y . Since Y is affine, F admits a connection Ψ , and it clearly suffices to prove the proposition for ∇ the pullback of Ψ . Write $\nabla' - \nabla = \gamma$ with $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E, E \otimes \Omega^1)$. Let $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$ be a closed immersion. The product map $X \hookrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^m$ is a closed immersion, hence γ lifts to $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^m}}(F, F \otimes \Omega_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^m}^1)$. Let $\Psi' := \Psi + \varphi$. We are now reduced to the case $X = Y \times \mathbb{A}^m$. Writing $\mathcal{H}^{2n-1}$ for the Zariski cohomology sheaf of the de Rham complex on X , one knows that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}) \subset \Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1})$ for any open $U \neq \emptyset$ [2]. Taking $U = \mathbb{A}^{M+m}$, where $\mathbb{A}^M$ is an affine cell in Y , we may assume $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}) = (0)$. Now $dw(E, \nabla, P)$ and $dw(E, \nabla', P)$ both represent the same class in cohomology, so, since X is affine, there exists $\eta \in \Gamma(X, \Omega^{2n-1})$ such that

$$w_n(E, \nabla, P) - w_n(E, \nabla', P) - \eta \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}) = (0).$$

□

Proposition 2.3.2. *Let ∇ be an integrable connection on E , and let P be an invariant polynomial of degree n . Let $\mathcal{H}^{2n-1} = \Omega_{\text{closed}}^{2n-1}/d\Omega^{2n-2}$. Then $w_n(E, \nabla, P) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1})$, i.e. $dw = 0$.*

Proof. $dw = P(F(\nabla)) = 0$ since ∇ integrable implies $F(\nabla) = 0$. □

Proposition 2.3.3. *Let ∇ be an integrable connection on E , and let $P = \lambda P_n + Q$ be an invariant polynomial of degree n , where P_n is the n -th elementary symmetric function and*

$$Q = \sum \mu_{ij} P_i \cdot P_j, i \neq j, i \geq 1, j \geq 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}, \mu_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then

$$w_n(E, \nabla, P) = \lambda w_n(E, \nabla)$$

(see notation (0.2.3)).

Proof. It is enough to see that $w_n(E, \nabla, P_i \cdot P_j) = 0$ for $i \geq 1, j \geq 1$. One has $P_j(F(A)^j) = 0$, so by Theorem 2.2.1

$$T'(P_i \cdot P_j)(A) = TP_i(A) \cdot P_j((F(A_j))^j) = 0$$

differs from $T(P_i \cdot P_j)(A)$ by an exact form on the open on which A is defined. $\square$

2.4. Rigidity.

Theorem 2.4.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth proper morphism between smooth algebraic varieties defined over a field k of characteristic zero. Assume $\dim S = 1$. Let $\nabla : E \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \otimes E$ be a relative flat connection, and P be an invariant polynomial. Then*

$$w_n(E, \nabla, P) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(X/S))$$

lifts canonically to a class in $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1})$ for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Take locally the matrix $A'_i \in H^0(X_i, M(N, \Omega_{X/S}^1))$ of the connection, N being the rank of E . Take liftings $A_i \in H^0(X_i, M(N, \Omega_X^1))$, and define $TP(A_i)$ looking at the Ω_X^1 valued connection defined by A_i . Since $F(A_i) \in H^0(X_i, M(N, f^*\Omega_S^1))$, one has $F(A_i)^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, and $dTP(A_i) = P(F(A)^n) = 0$. On $X_i \cap X_j$, one has

$$A_j = dg \cdot g^{-1} + gA_i g^{-1} - \Gamma_{ij}$$

where $\Gamma_{ij} \in H^0(X_i \cap X_j, f^*\Omega_S^1)$. Using proposition 2.2.2, we just have to show that $TP(B) - TP(B + \Gamma)$ is locally exact for some matrix of one forms $B = dg \cdot g^{-1} + gA_i g^{-1}$, verifying $F(B)\omega = 0$ for any $w \in M(N, f^*\Omega_S^1)$, and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{ij} \in f^*\Omega_S^1$. By 2.3.3 it is enough to consider $P(M) = \text{Tr} M^n$. One has

$$(2.4.1) \quad \varphi_t(B + \Gamma) = F(t(B + \Gamma)) = F(tB) + t d\Gamma - t^2(\Gamma B + B\Gamma)$$

and

$$(2.4.2) \quad F(tB)\omega = (t - t^2)dB\omega$$

with ω as above. Thus

$$(2.4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} P((B + \Gamma) \wedge \varphi_t^{n-1}(B + \Gamma)) = \\ \text{Tr}(B + \Gamma)[(tdB - t^2B^2)^{n-1} + (n-1)(t - t^2)^{n-2}(dB)^{n-2}(td\Gamma - t^2(B\Gamma + \Gamma B))] \\ = P(B \wedge \varphi_t^{n-1}(B)) + R \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(2.4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} R = \text{Tr}\Gamma(dB)^{n-1}[(t - t^2)^{n-1} - 2t^2(n-1)(t - t^2)^{n-2}] \\ + (n-1)(t - t^2)^{n-2}t\text{Tr}B(dB)^{n-2}d\Gamma \end{aligned}$$

Write $\text{Tr}d(B\Gamma) = \text{Tr}dB\Gamma - \text{Tr}Bd\Gamma$. Then we have

$$(2.4.5) \quad R = F(t)\text{Tr}\Gamma(dB)^{n-1}$$

modulo exact forms, with

$$(2.4.6) \quad F(t) = n(t - t^2)^{n-1} - (n-1)t^2(t - t^2)^{n-2} = (t(t - t^2)^{n-1})'.$$

The assertion now follows from (2.2.10). $\square$

3. FLAT BUNDLES

The following notations will reoccur frequently.

3.1. X will be a smooth variety, and $D = \bigcup D_i \subset X$ will be a normal crossings divisor, with $j : X - D \rightarrow X$. We will assume unless otherwise specified that the ground field k has characteristic 0.

3.2. (E, ∇) will be a vector bundle E of rank r on X with connection $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log D)$ having logarithmic poles along D . The Poincaré residue map $\Omega^1(\log D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ is denoted res_{D_i} , and $\Gamma_i := \text{res}_{D_i} \circ \nabla : E \rightarrow E|_{D_i}$.

3.3. When E is trivialized on the open cover $X = \cup X_i$, with basis $\underline{e}_i$ on X_i , then (E, ∇) is equivalent to the data

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &\in \Gamma(X_i \cap X_j, GL(r, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ g_{ik} &= g_{ij}g_{jk} \\ A_i &\in \Gamma(X_i, M(r, \Omega_X^1(\log D))) \end{aligned}$$

with $g_{ij}^{-1}dg_{ij} = g_{ij}^{-1}A_i g_{ij} - A_j$.

3.4. The curvature

$$\nabla^2 : E \rightarrow \Omega_X^2(\log D) \otimes E$$

is given locally by

$$\nabla^2 = F(A_i) := dA_i - A_i A_i.$$

The connection ∇ is said to be flat, or integrable if $\nabla^2 = 0$.

3.5. For two $r \times r$ matrices A and B of differential forms of weight a and b respectively, one writes $\text{Tr } AB$ for the trace of the $r \times r$ matrix AB of weight $a + b$, and one has $\text{Tr } AB = (-1)^{ab} \text{Tr } BA$. We denote by ${}^t A$ the transpose of A : $({}^t A)_{ij} = A_{ji}$.

3.6. For any cohomology theory H with a localization sequence, the i -th level of Grothendieck's coniveau filtration is defined by

$$N^i H^\bullet = \{x \in H^\bullet \mid \exists \text{ subvariety } Z \subset X \text{ of codimension } \geq i \\ \text{such that } 0 = x|_{X-Z} \in H^\bullet(X - Z)\}.$$

3.7. For any cohomology theory H defined in a topology finer than the Zariski topology, one defines the Zariski sheaves $\mathcal{H}$ associated to the presheaves $U \mapsto H(U)$ ([2]). When H is the cohomology for the analytic topology with coefficients in a constant sheaf A , we sometimes write $\mathcal{H}(A)$. For example the Betti or de Rham sheaves $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ are simply the cohomology sheaves for the complex of algebraic differentials Ω_X^* . For $D \subset X$ as above, we write $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(\log D)$ for the cohomology sheaves of $\Omega^*(\log D)$. It is known that $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(\log D) \cong j_* \mathcal{H}_{X-D}$.

3.8. When $k = \mathbb{C}$ we use the same notation Ω_X^* for the analytic and algebraic de Rham complexes. For integers a and b , the analytic Deligne cohomology is defined to be the hypercohomology of the complex of analytic sheaves

$$H_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}^a(X, \mathbb{Z}(b)) := \mathbb{H}^a(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(b) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{b-1}).$$

(This should be distinguished from the usual Deligne cohomology, which is defined using differentials with at worst log poles at infinity.) One has a cycle class map from the Chow group of algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence to Deligne cohomology:

$$CH^i(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i)).$$

3.9. We continue to assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. Let $\alpha : X_{\text{an}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ be the identity map. For a complex $C^\cdot$, let $t_{\geq i} C^\cdot$ be the subcomplex which is zero in degrees $< i$ and coincides with $C^\cdot$ in degrees $\geq i$. There is a map of complexes $t_{\geq i} C^\cdot \rightarrow C^\cdot$. The complex

$$\mathbb{Z}(j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

in the analytic topology is quasi-isomorphic to the cone $\mathbb{Z}(j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and hence to $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(j)[-1]$. We obtain in this way a map in the derived category

$$(t_{\geq j} \Omega_X^\cdot) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(j)$$

The kernel of the resulting map

$$R^j \alpha_*(t_{\geq j} \Omega_X) \cong \ker (\alpha_* \Omega^j \rightarrow \alpha_* \Omega^{j+1}) \rightarrow R^j \alpha_*(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$$

is denoted $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}(j)}^j$ [8]. Note $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}(j)}^j$ is a Zariski sheaf. Writing $\mathcal{K}_j^m$ for the Milnor K -sheaf (subsheaf of the constant sheaf $K_j^{\text{Milnor}}(k(X))$), the $d \log$ -map

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_j\} \mapsto df_1/f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_j/f_j$$

induces a map

$$(3.9.1) \quad d \log : \mathcal{K}_j^m \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}(j)}^j.$$

To see this, note the exponential sequence induces a map

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{Zar}}}^* \rightarrow R^1 \alpha_* \mathbb{Z}(1)$$

and we get by cup product a commutative diagram with left hand vertical arrow surjective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{Zar}}}^{*\otimes j} & \longrightarrow & R^j \alpha_* \mathbb{Z}(j) \\ \downarrow \text{surj.} & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{K}_j^m & \xrightarrow{d \log} & R^j \alpha_* \mathbb{C}. \end{array}$$

We shall need some more precise results about the sheaf $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}(j)}^j$.

Lemma 3.9.1. 1. *There is a natural map*

$$H^i(X_{\text{Zar}}, \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}(i)}^i) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i))$$

2. *Let $D \subset X$ be a normal crossings divisor. Then there is a natural map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^i(X_{\text{Zar}}, \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}(i)}^i) &\rightarrow \alpha_* \Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^{2i}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X &\rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i-1} \rightarrow \Omega^i(\log D)_X \rightarrow \dots) \end{aligned}$$

3. *There is a natural map*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{H}^i(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{K}_i^m \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^i(\log D) &\rightarrow \dots) \rightarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^{2i}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X &\rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i-1} \rightarrow \Omega^i(\log D)_X \rightarrow \dots) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $D = \emptyset$, we get a map

$$\mathbb{H}^i(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{K}_i^m \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega_X^i \rightarrow \dots) \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i)).$$

Proof. We consider the spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} R^j &:= R^j \alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{i-1}) \\ E_2^{p,q} &= H^p(X_{\text{Zar}}, R^q) \Rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) \end{aligned}$$

One checks that

$$\begin{aligned} R^s &\cong \mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i)); & s < i \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R^i \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}(i)}^i \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i-1}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i)) &\rightarrow R^s \rightarrow \ker(\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i))) \rightarrow 0; & s > i \end{aligned}$$

We have by ([2]) that $H^a(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{H}^b(A)) = (0)$ for $a > b$ and A any constant sheaf of abelian groups. Applying this to the above, we conclude $E_2^{a, 2i-a} = H^a(X_{\text{Zar}}, R^{2i-a}) = (0)$ for $a > i$, and $E_2^{i, i} \cong H^i(X, \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}(i)}^i)$. Assertion (1) follows. The construction of the map in (2) is similar and is left for the reader. Finally, (3) follows by composing the arrow from (2) with the $d \log$ map 3.9.1. $\square$

3.10. Characteristic classes. Let (E, ∇) be a bundle with connection as in 3.2 and assume ∇ is flat. Functorial and additive characteristic classes

$$c_i(E, \nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^i(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow \Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i+1}(\log D) \rightarrow \dots)$$

were defined in [7]. These classes have the following compatibilities:

3.10.1. Under the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^i(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow \Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i+1}(\log D) \rightarrow \dots) &\rightarrow \\ &H^i(X, \mathcal{K}_i^m) \cong CH^i(X) \end{aligned}$$

we have $c_i(E, \nabla) \mapsto c_i^{\text{Chow}}(E) \in CH^i(X)$.

3.10.2. Assume X proper and $D = \phi$. The classes $c_i(E, \nabla)$ lift classes $c_i^{\text{an}}(E, \nabla) \in H^{2i-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i))$ defined in [8], via the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow \Omega_X^i \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots) & \longrightarrow & CH^i(X) \\ \varphi \text{ (3.9.1(3))} \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi = \text{cycle map} \\ H^{2i-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2i}(X, i). \end{array}$$

3.10.3. When $D \neq \phi$ and X is proper, classes

$$c_i^{\text{an}}(E, \nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^{2i}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i-1} \rightarrow \Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \dots)$$

lifting $c_i^{\mathcal{D}}(E) \in H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i))$ are defined in [8]. In general, for X not proper, these classes lift

$$c_i^{\mathcal{D}}(E|_{X-D}) \in H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2i}(X-D, \mathbb{Z}(i))$$

via the factorization through $H^{2i-1}(X-D, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i))$ ([9], (3.5)).

Proposition 3.10.1. *The map φ from (3.9.1(3)) carries $c_i(E, \nabla)$ to $c_i^{\text{an}}(E, \nabla)$. For X proper, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathcal{K}^m \rightarrow \Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i+1}(\log D) \rightarrow \dots) & \rightarrow & CH^i(X) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ \mathbb{H}^{2i}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \dots \Omega_X^{i-1} \rightarrow \Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \dots) & \rightarrow & H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i)) \end{array}$$

commutes. For X not proper, the diagram remains commutative if one replaces the bottom row by

$$H^{2i-1}((X-D)_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(i)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2i}(X-D, \mathbb{Z}(i))$$

or if one replaces $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i))$ by $H_{\mathcal{D}, \text{an}}^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i))$.

Proof. The central point, for which we refer the reader to ([8]) is the following. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow X$ be the flag bundle of E over which E has a filtration $E_{i-1} \subset E_i$ by $\tau\nabla$ stable subbundles with successive rank 1 quotients $(L_i, \tau\nabla)$ (see [7]). Then $c_i(E, \nabla)$ and $c_i^{\text{an}}(E, \nabla)$ are both defined on G by products starting from

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(L_\alpha, \tau\nabla) &\in \mathbb{H}^1(G, \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \dots) \\ c_1^{\text{an}}(L_\alpha, \tau\nabla) &\in \mathbb{H}^2(G, \mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \dots). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to observe that the “algebraic” product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^1(G, \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \dots)^{\otimes i} \\ \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^i(G, \mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \dots) \end{aligned}$$

([8], p. 51) is defined compatibly with the “analytic” product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^2(G, \mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \dots)^{\otimes i} \\ \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2i}(G, \mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_G^{i-1} \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \dots). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.11. Let $\tau : \Omega_X^* \rightarrow N^*$ be a map of complexes, with $\mathcal{O}_X = N^0$, such that if a is the smallest degree b for which $B^b := \text{Ker} \Omega_X^b \rightarrow N^b \neq 0$, then $B^b = B^a \wedge \Omega_X^{b-a}$. For example, let $\nabla : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ be a non integrable connection. Then the local relation $dF(A) = [A, F(A)]$ shows that one can define N^* by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11.1) \quad N^1 &= \Omega_X^1(\log D) \\ N^i &= \Omega_X^i(\log D) / B^2 \wedge \Omega_X^{i-2}(\log D) \end{aligned}$$

where B^2 is locally generated by the entries of the curvature matrix of ∇ .

Let (E, ∇) be a flat N^* valued connection, that is a k linear map $\nabla : E \rightarrow N^1 \otimes E$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$(3.11.2) \quad \nabla(\lambda e) = \tau d\lambda e + \lambda \nabla(e),$$

the sign convention

$$(3.11.3) \quad \nabla(\omega \otimes e) = \tau d(\omega) \otimes e + (-1)^o \omega \wedge \nabla(e),$$

where $o = \deg \omega$, and $(\nabla)^2 = 0$. Then the computations of [7] and [8] allow to show the existence of functorial and additive classes

$$(3.11.4) \quad c_i(E, \nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^i(X, \mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow N^i \rightarrow N^{i+1} \dots)$$

mapping to analytic classes

$$(3.11.5) \quad c_i^{\text{an}}(E, \nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^{2i}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(i) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^i \rightarrow N^{i+1} \dots)$$

compatibly with the classes $c_i^{\mathcal{D}}(E)$ and $c_i^{\text{Chow}}(E)$ as before. As we won't need those classes, we don't repeat in details the construction.

3.12. Finally, the $c_i(E, \nabla)$ map to classes

$$\theta_i(E, \nabla) \in H^0(X, \frac{\Omega_X^{2i-1}(\log D)}{d\Omega_X^{2i-2}(\log D)}).$$

In the next section these will be related to the classes $w_i(E, \nabla)$.

4. THE CLASSES θ_n AND w_n

Recall that we had defined $w_n(E, \nabla) = w_n(E, \nabla, P_n)$ in (0.2.3) for the n -th elementary symmetric function P_n .

Theorem 4.0.1. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Let E, ∇ be a rank d vector bundle on X with integrable connection. For $d \geq n \geq 2$, we have $w_n(E, \nabla) = \theta_n(E, \nabla)$, and $w_n(E, \nabla, P) = \lambda \theta_n(E, \nabla)$ (with the notations of Proposition 2.3.2).*

The proof will take up this entire section. We begin with

Remark 4.0.2. *We may assume X is affine, and $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus N}$. In this situation, the class $w_n(E, \nabla)$ lifts canonically to a class in $H^0(X, \Omega^{2n-1})/dH^0(X, \Omega^{2n-2})$.*

Indeed, one knows from [2] that for $U \subset X$ non-empty open, the restriction map $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1})$ is injective. The assertion about lifting follows from the construction of w_n in section 2 because the trivialization can be taken globally.

4.1. The connection is now given by a matrix of 1-forms and so can be pulled back in many ways from some (non-integrable) connection Ψ on the trivial bundle $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^p}^N$. We will want to assume Ψ “general” in a sense to be specified below. For convenience, write $T = \mathbb{A}^p$ and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow T$ be the map pulling back the connection. Let $\pi : P \rightarrow T$ be the flag bundle for $\mathcal{E}$ and let $Q = \varphi^*P$, so we get a diagram

$$(4.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & T. \end{array}$$

4.2. The curvature $F(\Psi)$ defines an $\mathcal{O}_T$ -linear map

$$(4.2.1) \quad F(\Psi) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} \Omega_T^2.$$

In concrete terms, we take $p = 2N^2q$ for some large integer q , and we write $x_{ij}^{(k)}$ and $y_{ij}^{(k)}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $1 \leq k \leq q$ for the coordinates on $\mathbb{A}^p$. The connection Ψ then corresponds to an $N \times N$ matrix of 1-forms $A = (a_{ij})$, and the curvature is given by $F(\Psi) := (f_{ij}) = dA - A^2$. We take

$$(4.2.2) \quad a_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=1}^q x_{ij}^{(\ell)} dy_{ij}^{(\ell)}; \quad f_{ij} = da_{ij} - \sum_{m=1}^N a_{im} \wedge a_{mj}.$$

Notice that for q large, we can find $\varphi : X \rightarrow T$ so that $(\mathcal{E}, \Psi)$ pulls back to (E, ∇) .

4.3. We want to argue universally by computing characteristic classes for $(\mathcal{E}, \Psi)$, but the curvature gets in the way. We could try to kill the curvature and look for classes in the quotient complex of Ω_T^* modulo the differential ideal generated by the f_{ij} (see 3.11), but this gratuitous violence seems to lead to difficulties. Instead, we will use the notion of τ -connection defined in [7] and [8] and work with a sheaf of differential algebras

$$(4.3.1) \quad M^* = \Omega_P^* / \mathcal{I}$$

on the flag bundle P .

Let

$$(4.3.2) \quad \mu : \Omega_{P/T}^1 \xrightarrow{\iota} \pi^* \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\pi^* F(\Psi)} \pi^* \Omega_T^2$$

be the composition, where ι is the standard inclusion on a flag bundle. An easy way to see ι is to consider the fibration $R \rightarrow P = R/B$, where R is the corresponding principal $G = GL(N)$ bundle and B is the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, and to write the surjection $\mathcal{T}(R/T)/B \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(P/T)$ dual to ι , where $\mathcal{T}(A/B)$ is the relative tangent

space of A with respect to B . There is an induced map of graded $\pi^*\Omega_T^*$ -modules, and we define the graded algebra M^* to be the cokernel as indicated:

$$(4.3.3) \quad \Omega_{P/T}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} \pi^*\Omega_T^*[-2] \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} \pi^*\Omega_T^* \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Note $M^0 = \mathcal{O}_P$ and $M^1 = \pi^*\Omega_T^1$.

Proposition 4.4.1. (i) *Associated to the connection Ψ on $\mathcal{E}$ there is an $\mathcal{O}_P$ -linear splitting $\tau : \Omega_P^1 \twoheadrightarrow \pi^*\Omega_T^1$ of the natural inclusion $\pi^*\Omega_T^1 \xrightarrow{i} \Omega_P^1$. The resulting map $\delta := \tau \circ d : \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_T^1$ is a derivation, which coincides with the exterior derivative on $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_T \subset \mathcal{O}_P$. By extension, one defines*

$$\delta : \pi^*\Omega_T^n \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_T^{n+1}; \quad \delta(f\pi^{-1}\omega) = f\pi^{-1}d\omega + \delta(f) \wedge \pi^{-1}\omega$$

(ii) *One has*

$$\delta^2 = \mu \circ d_{P/T} : \mathcal{O}_P \xrightarrow{d_{P/T}} \Omega_{P/T}^1 \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_T^2,$$

where μ is as in (4.3.2).

(iii) *There is an induced map $\delta : M^n \rightarrow M^{n+1}$ making M^* a differential graded algebra. The quotient map $\Omega_P^* \twoheadrightarrow \pi^*\Omega_T^* \twoheadrightarrow M^*$ is a map of differential graded $\mathcal{O}_P$ -algebras.*

Proof. We will give a somewhat different construction of M^* which we will show coincides with that defined by (4.3.3).

Let Y be a scheme, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a vector bundle on Y . Let $\pi_1 : P_1 := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow Y$. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \Omega_Y^*$ be a differential graded ideal, and write $M_0^* = \Omega_Y^*/\mathcal{I}$. (All our differential graded ideals will be trivial in degree 0, so $M_0^0 = \mathcal{O}_Y$.) Assume we are given an M_0 -connection $\nabla : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes M_0^1$ in an obvious sense, that is a k linear map fulfilling the "Leibniz" rule $\nabla(\lambda f) = \delta(\lambda)f + \lambda\nabla(f)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_Y, f \in \mathcal{F}$. Define $\mathcal{J} := \pi_1^{-1}\mathcal{I}\Omega_{P_1}^* \subset \Omega_{P_1}^*$, and let $\widetilde{M}^* := \Omega_{P_1}^*/\mathcal{J}$. As a consequence of the Leibniz rule, the pullback $\pi_1^*\mathcal{F}$ has a $\widetilde{M}^*$ -connection $\widetilde{\nabla} : \pi_1^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi_1^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \widetilde{M}^1$.

We want to construct a quotient differential graded algebra $\widetilde{M}^* \twoheadrightarrow M_1^*$ such that with respect to the quotient M_1 -connection, the universal sequence

$$(4.4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_{P_1/Y}^1(1) \xrightarrow{j} \pi_1^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{O}_{P_1}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

is horizontal. The composition

$$(4.4.2) \quad \Omega_{P_1/Y}^1(1) \xrightarrow{j} \pi_1^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\nabla}} \pi_1^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \widetilde{M}^1 \xrightarrow{q \otimes 1} \widetilde{M}^1(1)$$

is easily checked to be $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}$ -linear. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_1 \subset \widetilde{M}^1$ denote the image of the above map twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}(-1)$. Define $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^* \subset \widetilde{M}^*$ to be the graded ideal generated by $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^1$ in degree 1 and $\delta\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^1$ in degree 2. Let $M_1^* := \widetilde{M}^*/\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^*$. It is immediate that M_1^* is a differential graded algebra, and that the subbundle $\Omega_{P_1/Y}^1(1) \subset \pi_1^*\mathcal{F}$ is horizontal for the quotient connection $\pi_1^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi_1^*\mathcal{F} \otimes M_1^*$.

Now let P denote the flag bundle for $\mathcal{F}$. Realize P as a tower of projective bundles

$$P = P_{N-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow Y$$

where P_i is the projective bundle on the tautological subbundle on P_{i-1} . Starting with an M_0 -connection on $\mathcal{F}$ on Y , we can iterate the above construction to get a sheaf of differential graded algebras M_i^* on P_i , and an M_i -connection on $\mathcal{F}|_{P_i}$ such that the tautological partial flag is horizontal. Let M'^* be the resulting sheaf of differential graded algebras on P .

Suppose $M_0^1 = \Omega_Y^1$. We will show by induction on i that $M_i^1 \cong \Omega_Y^1|_{P_i}$ in such a way that the surjection $\Omega_{P_i}^1 \twoheadrightarrow M_i^1$ splits the natural inclusion $\Omega_Y^1|_{P_i} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{P_i}^1$, or in other words that the kernel of the former is complementary to the image of the latter. This assertion is local on P_{i-1} (in fact, it is local on P_i), so we may assume $P_i = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is trivial on P_{i-1} . We can then lift the M_{i-1} -connection on $\mathcal{G}$ to an $\Omega_{P_{i-1}}^1$ -connection. The analog of (4.4.2) is now

$$\Omega_{P_i/P_{i-1}}^1(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_{P_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_{P_i} \otimes \Omega_{P_i}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{P_i}^1(1)$$

This composition twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{P_i}(-1)$ is shown in [7] (0.6.1) to be (upto sign) a splitting of $\Omega_{P_i}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{P_i/P_{i-1}}^1$. In particular, its image is complementary to $\Omega_{P_{i-1}}^1|_{P_i}$. Factoring out $\Omega_{P_i}^1$ by the image of this map and by the pullback of the kernel of $\Omega_{P_{i-1}}^1 \twoheadrightarrow M_{i-1}^1 \cong \Omega_Y^1|_{P_{i-1}}$, it follows easily that $M_i^1 \cong \Omega_Y^1|_{P_i}$ as claimed.

To show M'^* as constructed here coincides with M^* from (4.3.3) we must prove for $Y = T$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}$ that $M^2 \cong M'^2$. We filter $\Omega_{P/T}^1$ so $\text{fil}_0 = (0)$ and $\text{gr}_i = \Omega_{P_i/P_{i-1}}^1|_P$. We will show by induction on i that with reference to (4.3.3) we have

$$(4.4.3) \quad \mu(\text{gr}_i \Omega_{P/T}^1) = \delta(\mathcal{K}_i^1) \subset (\Omega_T^2|_P)/\mu(\text{fil}_{i-1} \Omega_{P/T}^1)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_i^1$ is the image of $\Omega_{P_i/P_{i-1}}^1|_P$ in $\Omega_T^1|_P$ under the map analogous to (4.4.2). Suppose first $i = 1$. Let $e_0, e_1, \dots$ be a basis of $\mathcal{E}$, and let t_i be the corresponding homogeneous coordinates on $P_1 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ so

$q(e_i) = t_i$ in (4.4.1). The inclusion $j : \Omega_{P_1/T}^1(1) \hookrightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{E}$ is given by

$$(4.4.4) \quad t_0 d(t_i/t_0) \mapsto e_i - (t_i/t_0)e_0.$$

Consider the diagram

$$(4.4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega_{P_1/T}^1(1) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^1(1) \subset \Omega_{P_1}^1(1) & \xrightarrow{a} & (\Omega_{P_1}^2/\mathcal{K}^1 \wedge \Omega^1)(1) \\ j \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow q \otimes 1 \\ \pi_1^* \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1^* \Psi} & \pi_1^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{P_1}^1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1^* \Psi} & \pi_1^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{P_1}^2 \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to check that $q \otimes 1 \circ \pi_1^* \Psi$ factorizes through $\Omega_{P_1}^1(1)$, thereby defining the dashed arrow a , and that for $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}^1$ we have $a(\kappa \otimes t_i) = d\kappa \otimes t_i \in (\Omega_{P_1}^2/\mathcal{K}^1 \wedge \Omega^1)(1)$. Thus $M'^2 = \Omega_{P_1}^2/(\mathcal{K}^1 \wedge \Omega^1 + d\mathcal{K}^1)$ is obtained by factoring out on the upper right of (4.4.5) by the image of the composition across the top twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{P_1}(-1)$. Note that the composition across the bottom is the curvature $F(\Psi)$. If we write (f_{ij}) for the curvature matrix with respect to the basis $e_0, e_1, \dots$, we find using (4.4.4) that e.g. on the open set $t_0 \neq 0$, $d\mathcal{K}^1$ is generated by elements

$$(4.4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} t_0^{-1}(q \otimes 1)F(\Psi)(e_j - (t_j/t_0)e_0) = \\ \sum_i f_{ij}(t_i/t_0) - (t_j/t_0) \sum_k f_{k0}(t_k/t_0) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the map ι in (4.3.2) is given by

$$(4.4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{P_1}(-1) &\hookrightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{E}^\vee; \quad t_i^{-1} \mapsto \sum_j (t_j/t_i) e_j^\vee \\ \Omega_{P_1/T}^1 &\hookrightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{E}(-1) \hookrightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{E}^\vee \\ d(t_j/t_0) &\mapsto (e_j - (t_j/t_0)e_0) \otimes \sum_i (t_i/t_0) e_i^\vee \end{aligned}$$

The map μ from (4.3.2) is given by $\mu(e_i^\vee \otimes e_j) = f_{ij}$ hence by (4.4.7) we get

$$(4.4.8) \quad \mu(d(t_j/t_0)) = \sum_i (t_i/t_0) f_{ij} - \sum_i (t_i t_j / t_0^2) f_{i0}.$$

Comparing (4.4.6) and (4.4.8), we conclude that (4.4.3) holds for $i = 1$. The inductive step is precisely the same. We have $P_{i+1} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_i)$ for some subbundle $\mathcal{G}_i \subset \mathcal{G}_{i-1}|P_i$. The question is local, so we may assume $\mathcal{G}_i$ is free. We assume inductively that G_{i-1} has a $M_{i-1} = \Omega_{P_{i-1}}^*/\mathcal{I}_{i-1}^*$ -connection. Define $\widetilde{M}_{i-1} = \Omega_{P_i}^*/\mathcal{I}_{i-1}^* \cdot \Omega_{P_i}^*$, so $\mathcal{G}_{i-1}|P_i$ has a $\widetilde{M}_{i-1}$ -connection. One factors out by the image $\mathcal{K}_i^1$ of $\Omega_{P_i/P_{i-1}}^1$ as in (4.4.2) to define M_i^1 and then writes down a diagram like (4.4.5) to compare $d\mathcal{K}_i^1$ with the image of μ as in (4.3.2). At this point it is good to remark

that the curvature $F_\tau : \mathcal{O}_Q(1) \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_T^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Q(1) \rightarrow M^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Q(1)$ does not vanish. For example, for $N = 2$, one has $F_\tau(t_0) = (f_{00} + f_{01}(t_1/t_0))t_0$.

The remaining assertions in proposition 4.4.1 are easily verified. $\square$

Proposition 4.5.1. *We have $\mathbb{R}\pi_* M^i \cong \pi_* M^i$ for $i < q$. The complex $H^0(T, \pi_* M^*)$ has no cohomology in odd degrees $< q$. For $2n < q$, the map*

$$\delta : \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(P, M^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^{2n-1}) \rightarrow H^0(P, M^{2n})$$

is injective.

4.6. We postpone the proof of proposition 4.5.1 for a while in order to finish the proof of theorem 4.0.1. Note first that since the curvature of the original bundle E on X is zero, the construction of proposition 4.4.1 above applied to E and the flag bundle Q yields a structure of differential graded algebra on $\pi^* \Omega_X^*$, and we have (from (4.1.1)) a pullback map of complexes of sheaves on P

$$(4.6.1) \quad \varphi^* : M^* \rightarrow R\varphi_* \pi^* \Omega_X^*$$

coming from $\varphi^* M^i \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^i$.

We will construct classes $\tilde{c}$ and $\tilde{w}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}(P, M^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^{2n-1})$ such that with reference to the maps

$$(4.6.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(Q, \pi^* \Omega_X^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^{2n-1}) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\gamma} & H^0(X, \Omega_X^{2n-1})/dH^0(X, \Omega_X^{2n-2}) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{H}^n(Q, \mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^{2n-1}) & & \\ \beta \uparrow & & \\ \mathbb{H}^n(Q, \mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^{\geq n}) & & \end{array}$$

we have

$$(4.6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta \pi^*(c_n(E, \nabla)) &= \alpha \varphi^* \tilde{c} \\ w_n(E, \nabla) &= \gamma^{-1} \varphi^* \tilde{w} \end{aligned}$$

(Note that to avoid confusion between $H^0(\Omega_X^{2n-1}/d\Omega_X^{2n-2})$ and $H^0(\Omega_X^{2n-1})/dH^0(\Omega_X^{2n-2})$, it is a good idea here to localize more and replace X by its function field $\text{Spec}(k(X))$. Note also that β is always injective, and that γ is an isomorphism because X is affine).

We then show

$$(4.6.4) \quad \delta \tilde{c} = \delta \tilde{w} \in H^0(P, M^{2n}),$$

whence, by proposition 4.5.1 we have $\tilde{c} = \tilde{w}$. Now consider the analogue of (4.6.2) down on X , with $\pi^*\Omega$ replaced by Ω . Write $\alpha_X, \beta_X, \gamma_X$ for the corresponding maps. The assertion of theorem 4.0.1 is

$$(4.6.5) \quad \gamma_X(w_n(E, \nabla)) = \beta_X(c_n(E, \nabla)).$$

It follows from (4.6.2) and evident functoriality of π^* that (4.6.5) holds after pullback by π^* . Theorem 4.0.1 then follows from

Lemma 4.6.1. *The pullback*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^* : \mathbb{H}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \Omega_X^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^n(Q, \mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

is injective.

Proof of lemma. This is central to the splitting principle involved in the construction of characteristic classes in [8]. (See the argument on p. 52 in the proof of theorem 1.7 of (op. cit.).) An evident diagram chase involving cohomology of the $\mathcal{K}$ -sheaves and the sheaves Ω and $\pi^*\Omega$ reduces one to showing the $\mathcal{K}$ -cohomology groups $H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n^m)$ and $H^{n-1}(Q, \mathcal{K}_n^m)$ have the same image in

$$\mathbb{H}^{n-1}(Q, \pi^*\Omega_X^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X^{2n-1}).$$

This follows because the multiplication map

$$H^{n-2}(Q, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}^m) \otimes H^1(Q, \mathcal{K}_1) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(Q, \mathcal{K}_n^m) / \pi^* H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n^m)$$

is surjective. The line bundles on Q have integrable τ -connections in the sense of [8], so their classes in $\mathbb{H}^1(Q, \pi^*\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \dots)$ vanish. $\square$

4.7. We turn now to the construction of the classes $\tilde{c}$ and $\tilde{w}$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} w(\mathcal{E}, \Psi, P_n) \in H^0(\Omega_T^{2n-1}) / dH^0(\Omega_T^{2n-2}) \\ \cong \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(T, \Omega_T^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_T^{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

We define $\tilde{w}$ by the natural pullback

$$(4.7.1) \quad \tilde{w} = \pi^* w(\mathcal{E}, \Psi, P_n) \in \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(P, M^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^{2n-1}).$$

It follows that

$$(4.7.2) \quad \delta(\tilde{w}) = \pi^*(dw(\mathcal{E}, \Psi, P_n)) = \pi^*(P_n(F(\Psi))) \in H^0(P, M^{2n}).$$

To construct $\tilde{c}$, we remark first that the map

$$\mathbb{H}^{n-1}(P, M^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n(P, \mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow M^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^{2n-1})$$

is injective, so it suffices to construct

$$(4.7.3) \quad \tilde{c} \in \ker(\mathbb{H}^n(P, \mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow M^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^{2n-1}) \rightarrow H^n(P, \mathcal{K}_n^m)).$$

This injectivity follows easily from the structure of $H^{n-1}(P, \mathcal{K}_n^m)$, given that P is a flag bundle over affine space, but in fact the construction of $\tilde{c}$ as in (4.7.3) would suffice for our purposes anyway, so we won't give the argument in detail.

Let ℓ_i be the rank one subquotients of $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$. A basic result from [7] is that $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ admits a “connection” with values in M^* ,

$$(4.7.4) \quad \pi^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} M^1$$

and that the filtration defining the ℓ_i is horizontal for this “connection”. Thus there exist local transition functions $f_{\alpha,\beta}^i$ and local connection forms $\omega_\alpha^i \in M^1$ verifying

$$(4.7.5) \quad d\log f^i = \partial\omega^i,$$

and thus defining $\ell_i \in \mathbb{H}^1(P, \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow M^1)$. Here ∂ is the Čech differential. Then $\tilde{c}$ is defined by the cocycle

$$(4.7.6) \quad (x', x^n, \dots, x^{2n-1}) \in (\mathcal{C}^n(\mathcal{K}_n) \times \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(M^n) \dots \times \mathcal{C}^0(M^{2n-1}))_{d-\partial}$$

with

$$(4.7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} x' &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} f^{i_1} \cup \dots \cup f^{i_n} \\ x^n &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \omega^{i_1} \wedge \partial\omega^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial\omega^{i_n} \\ x^{n+1} &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \delta\omega^{i_1} \wedge \omega^{i_2} \wedge \partial\omega^{i_3} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial\omega^{i_n} \\ &\dots \\ x^{2n-1} &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \delta\omega^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta\omega^{i_{n-1}} \wedge \omega^{i_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The cup products “ $\cup$ ” here are Čech products. By definition [8], $\beta\pi^*(c_n(E, \nabla)) = \varphi^*\tilde{c}$. Applying δ to the last equation, it follows that the image of $\tilde{c}$ in $H^0(P, M^{2n})$ is

$$(4.7.8) \quad \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} F(\ell_{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge F(\ell_{i_n}).$$

This is exactly $P_n(F(\oplus \ell_i)) = \pi^*P_n(F(\Psi))$. (As M^* is a quotient complex of Ω_P^* by 4.4.1, (iii), invariance for P_n guarantees independence of the choice of local bases for $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$.) Comparing this with (4.7.2), we conclude $\delta\tilde{c} = \delta\tilde{w}$ so (4.6.4) holds.

4.8. We turn now to proof of proposition 4.5.1.

Proposition 4.8.1. *The Koszul complex associated to (4.3.3)*

$$(4.8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \Omega_{P/T}^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} \pi^* \Omega_T^*[-4] &\rightarrow \Omega_{P/T}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_P} \pi^* \Omega_T^*[-2] \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} \\ \pi^* \Omega_T^* &\rightarrow M^* \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact in degrees $< q$.

To clarify and simplify the argument, we will use commutative algebra. Let B be a commutative ring. Let C be a commutative, graded B -algebra, and let S be a graded C -module. Let Z be a finitely generated free B -module with generators ϵ_α , and let $\nu : Z \rightarrow C_2$, with $\nu(\epsilon_\alpha) = f_\alpha$. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset C$ be the ideal generated by the f_α . Write $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}(S) := \bigoplus \mathcal{I}^n S / \mathcal{I}^{n+1} S$. Note $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}(S)$ is a graded module for the symmetric algebra $B[Z]$ (with Z in degree 2). The dictionary we have in mind is

$$(4.8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T) \\ C &= \Omega_T^{\text{even}} \subset S = \Omega_T^* \\ Z &= \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \\ f_\alpha &= f_{ij} = \text{entries of curvature matrix} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.8.2. *Let $d \geq 2$ be given. The following are equivalent.*

(i) *The evident map*

$$\rho : (S/\mathcal{I}S)[Z] := (S/\mathcal{I}S) \otimes_B B[Z] \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}(S)$$

is an isomorphism in degrees $\leq d$.

(ii) *For all α , the multiplication map*

$$(4.8.3) \quad f_\alpha : S/(f_1, \dots, f_{\alpha-1})S \rightarrow S/(f_1, \dots, f_{\alpha-1})S$$

is injective in degrees $\leq d$.

Proof. This amounts to redoing the argument in Chapter 0, §(15.1.1)-(15.1.9) of [11] in a graded situation, where the hypotheses and conclusions are asserted to hold only in degrees $\leq d$. The argument may be sketched as follows.

Step 1. Suppose $\alpha = 1$, and write $f = f_1$. Let $\text{gr}(S) = \bigoplus f^n S / f^{n+1} S$. Suppose the kernel of multiplication by f on S is contained in degrees $> d$. Then the natural map $\varphi : (S/fS)[T] \rightarrow \text{gr}(S)$ is an isomorphism in degrees $\leq d$. Here, of course, T is given degree = degree(f) = 2.

Indeed, φ is always surjective, and injectivity in degrees $\leq d$ amounts to the assertion that for $x \in S$ of degree $\leq d - 2k$ with $f^k x = f^{k+1} y$, we have $x = fy$. This is clear.

Step 2. Suppose now the condition in (ii) holds. We prove (i) by induction on α . We may assume by step 1 that $\alpha > 1$. Let $\mathcal{J}$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}$) be the ideal generated by $f_1, \dots, f_{\alpha-1}$ (resp. $f_1, \dots, f_\alpha$). Write $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}(S) = \oplus J^n S / J^{n+1} S$. By induction, we may assume

$$(4.8.4) \quad S / \mathcal{J} S [T_1, \dots, T_{\alpha-1}] \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}(S)$$

is an isomorphism in degrees $\leq d$. We have to show the same for

$$(4.8.5) \quad \psi : \text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}(S) / f_\alpha \text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}(S) [T_\alpha] \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}(S).$$

By (4.8.4) we have that multiplication by f_α on $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}(S)$ is injective in degrees $\leq d$ (where the degree grading comes from S , not the $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}$ grading). An easy argument shows the multiplication map

$$(4.8.6) \quad f_\alpha : S / \mathcal{J}^r S \hookrightarrow S / \mathcal{J}^r S$$

is injective in degrees $\leq d$ for all r . Define

$$(4.8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (Q_k)_i &= \sum_{j \leq k-i} (\text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}^{k-j}(S) / f_\alpha \text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}^{k-j}(S)) T^j \\ (Q_k)_0 &= Q_k \quad (Q_k)_{k+1} = (0) \\ \text{gr}^i(Q_k) &= (\text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}^{k-i}(S) / f_\alpha \text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}}^{k-i}(S)) T^i \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$Q'_k = \psi(Q_k) \quad (Q'_k)_i = \psi((Q_k)_i) \quad \text{gr}^i(Q'_k) = (Q'_k)_i / (Q'_k)_{i+1}.$$

The map ψ is surjective, so it will suffice to show the maps

$$(4.8.8) \quad \text{gr}^i(Q_k) \rightarrow \text{gr}^i(Q'_k)$$

are injective in (S) -degrees $\leq d$. The left hand side is

$$\mathcal{J}^i S / (f_\alpha \mathcal{J}^i S + \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S) T^{k-i}.$$

The right hand side of (4.8.8) is the image of

$$\mathcal{J}^k S + f_\alpha \mathcal{J}^{k-1} S + \dots + f_\alpha^{k-i-1} \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S$$

in $\mathcal{I}^k S / \mathcal{I}^{k+1} S$. What we have to show is that for $x \in \mathcal{J}^i S$ of degree $\leq d - 2(k - i)$, the inclusion

$$(4.8.9) \quad f_\alpha^{k-i} x \in \mathcal{J}^k S + f_\alpha \mathcal{J}^{k-1} S + \dots + f_\alpha^{k-i-1} \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S + \mathcal{I}^{k+1} S$$

implies $x \in f_\alpha \mathcal{J}^i S + \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S$. The right side of (4.8.9) is contained in $\mathcal{J}^{i+1} S + \mathcal{I}^{k+1} S \subset \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S + f_\alpha^{k+1-i} S$. Multiplication by f_α on $S / \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S$ is injective in degrees $\leq d$ by (4.8.6), so $f_\alpha^{k-i} x \in f_\alpha^{k+1-i} S + \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S$ implies there exists $y \in S$ such that $x - f_\alpha y \in \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S$. Since $x \in \mathcal{J}^i S$, we have $f_\alpha y \in \mathcal{J}^i S$ whence by (4.8.6) again, $y \in \mathcal{J}^i S$ so $x \in f_\alpha \mathcal{J}^i S + \mathcal{J}^{i+1} S$. This completes the verification of step 2.

Step 3. It remains to show $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Again we argue by induction on α . Suppose first $\alpha = 1$. Given $x \in S$ non-zero of degree $\leq d$ such that $f_1 x = 0$, it would follow from (i) that $x \in f_1^N S$ for all N , which is ridiculous by reason of degree. Now suppose $\alpha \geq 2$ and that (i) implies (ii) for $\alpha - 1$. By assumption the map

$$(4.8.10) \quad S/\mathcal{I}S[T_1, \dots, T_\alpha] \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathcal{I}}(S)$$

is an isomorphism in degrees $\leq d$. In particular, multiplication by f_1 is injective in degrees $\leq d$ on $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{I}} S$. Arguing as above, an $x \in S$ of degree $\leq d$ such that $f_1 x = 0$ would lie in $\mathcal{I}^N S$ for all N , a contradiction. Thus the first step in (ii) holds. To finish the argument, we may factor out by f_1 , writing $\bar{S} = S/f_1 S$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the ideal generated by $f_2, \dots, f_\alpha$. Factoring out by T_1 on both sides of (4.8.10) yields

$$\bar{S}/\mathcal{K}\bar{S}[T_2, \dots, T_\alpha] \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathcal{K}}(\bar{S})$$

injective in degrees $\leq d$. We conclude by induction that (ii) holds for $\bar{S}$. $\square$

Continuing the dictionary from (4.8.2) above, the ring R and the module W in the lemma below correspond to the ring of functions on some affine in P and the module of 1-forms $\Omega_{P/T}^1 \subset \pi^* \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$.

Lemma 4.8.3. *Let notation be as above, and assume $\nu : Z \rightarrow C_2$ satisfies the equivalent conditions of lemma 4.8.2. Let R be a flat B -algebra, and let $W \subset Z \otimes_B R$ be a free, split R -submodule with basis g_β . Then the multiplication maps*

$$g_\beta : S \otimes_B R / (g_1, \dots, g_{\beta-1}) S \otimes_B R \rightarrow S \otimes_B R / (g_1, \dots, g_{\beta-1}) S \otimes_B R$$

are injective in degrees $\leq d$.

Proof. Assume not. We can localize at some prime of R contained in the support for some element in the kernel of multiplication by g_β and reduce to the case R local. Then we may extend $\{g_\beta\}$ to a basis of $Z \otimes_B R$ and use the implication (i) $\implies$ (ii) from lemma 4.8.2. Note that $\nu \otimes 1 : Z \otimes R \rightarrow C_2 \otimes R$ satisfies (i) by flatness. $\square$

Lemma 4.8.4. *With notations as above, assume Z satisfies the conditions of lemma 4.8.2 for some $d \geq 2$. Let $J \subset R \otimes_B C$ be the ideal generated by $(1 \otimes \nu)(W)$. Then the Koszul complex*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \wedge^2 W \otimes_R (R \otimes_B S) \rightarrow W \otimes_R (R \otimes_B S) \rightarrow \\ R \otimes_B S \rightarrow (R \otimes_B S)/J \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact in degrees $\leq d$.

Proof. To simplify notation, let $A = R \otimes_B C$, $M = R \otimes_B S$, $V = W \otimes_R C$, so the Koszul complex becomes

$$\dots \wedge^2 V \otimes_A M \rightarrow V \otimes_A M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/JM \rightarrow 0.$$

We argue by induction on the rank of V . If this rank is 1, the assertion is that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{g_1} M \rightarrow M/g_1M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in degrees $\leq d$, which follows from lemma 4.8.3. In general, if V has an A -basis $g_1, \dots, g_\beta$, let V' be the span of $g_1, \dots, g_{\beta-1}$. By induction, the Koszul complex

$$\dots \wedge^2 V' \otimes M \rightarrow V' \otimes M \rightarrow M$$

is a resolution of $M/(g_1, \dots, g_{\beta-1})M$ in degrees $\leq d$. If we tensor this module with the two-term complex $A \xrightarrow{g_\beta} A$ we obtain a complex which by lemma 4.8.3 is quasi-isomorphic to $M/(g_1, \dots, g_\beta)M$ in degrees $\leq d$. On the other hand, this complex is quasi-isomorphic to the complex obtained by tensoring $A \xrightarrow{g_\beta} A$ with the above V' -Koszul complex, and this tensor product is identified with the V -Koszul complex. $\square$

For our application, $B = \mathbb{C}[x_{ij}^{(k)}, y_{ij}^{(k)}]$ is the polynomial ring in two sets of variables, with $1 \leq i, j \leq N = \dim(E)$ and $1 \leq k \leq q$ for some large integer q . Let Ω be the free B -module on symbols $dx_{ij}^{(k)}$ and $dy_{ij}^{(k)}$. Let $S = \bigwedge_B \Omega$, graded in the obvious way with dx and dy having degree 1, and let $C = S_{\text{even}}$ be the elements of even degree. Define

$$(4.8.11) \quad a_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=1}^q x_{ij}^{(\ell)} dy_{ij}^{(\ell)}; \quad f_{ij} = da_{ij} - \sum_{m=1}^N a_{im} \wedge a_{mj}.$$

We have

$$(4.8.12) \quad f_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=1}^q dx_{ij}^{(\ell)} dy_{ij}^{(\ell)} - \sum_{m, \ell, p} x_{im}^{(\ell)} x_{mj}^{(p)} dy_{im}^{(\ell)} \wedge dy_{mj}^{(p)}.$$

Now give S and C a second grading according to the number of dx 's in a monomial. We denote this grading by $z = \sum z(j)$. For example, $f_{ij} = f_{ij}(1) + f_{ij}(0)$ with $f_{ij}(1) = \sum_k dx_{ij}^{(k)} \wedge dy_{ij}^{(k)}$. Let Z be the free B -module on symbols ϵ_{ij} , with $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. We consider maps

$$\mu, \mu(1) : Z \rightarrow C_2; \quad \mu(\epsilon_{ij}) = f_{ij}; \quad \mu(1)(\epsilon_{ij}) = f_{ij}(1)$$

Lemma 4.8.5. *Suppose the map $\mu(1)$ above satisfies the conditions of lemma 4.8.2 above for some $d \geq 2$. Then so does μ .*

Proof. Suppose

$$f_\alpha \ell_\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha-1} f_\beta \ell_\beta$$

with the ℓ_β homogeneous of some degree $< d$. Write

$$\ell_\beta = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq r} \ell_\beta(j); \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$$

such that $\ell_\beta(r) \neq 0$ for some β . We have

$$(4.8.13) \quad f_\alpha(1)\ell_\alpha(r) = \sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha-1} f_\beta(1)\ell_\beta(r)$$

We want to show ℓ_α belongs to the submodule generated by $f_1, \dots, f_{\alpha-1}$, and we will argue by double induction on r and on the set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\beta \leq \alpha \mid \ell_\beta(r) \neq 0\}.$$

If $r = 0$ and $\ell_\alpha \neq 0$, we get a contradiction from (5.3.1), since we have assumed the ℓ_β have degree $< d$, and $\ell_\alpha(0)$ cannot lie in the ideal generated by the $f_\beta(1)$. Assume now $r \geq 1$.

Case 1.- Suppose $\ell_\alpha(r) \neq 0$. From the above, we conclude we can write

$$\ell_\alpha(r) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \neq \alpha} m_\beta(r-1)f_\beta(1)$$

Define

$$(4.8.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell'_\alpha &= \ell_\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \neq \alpha} m_\beta(r-1)f_\beta \\ \ell'_\beta &= \ell_\beta - m_\beta(r-1)f_\alpha; \quad \beta \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \neq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We have still, taking $\ell'_\beta = \ell_\beta$ for $\beta \notin \mathcal{A}$

$$(4.8.15) \quad f_\alpha \ell'_\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha-1} f_\beta \ell'_\beta$$

Since $\ell'_\beta(r) = \ell_\beta(r) = 0$ for $\beta \notin \mathcal{A}$, $\ell'_\alpha(r) = 0$, and $\ell'_\beta(s) = 0$ for $s > r$ and all β ; the inductive hypothesis says ℓ'_α lies in the ideal generated by the f_β for $\beta < \alpha$. It follows from (4.3.2) that ℓ_α lies in this ideal also.

Case 2.- $\ell_\alpha(r) = 0$. Choose $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$. We have

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}} f_\beta(1)\ell_\beta(r) = 0.$$

Since the $f_\beta(1)$ are assumed to satisfy the equivalent hypotheses of lemma 4.6.1, we can write

$$\ell_\gamma(r) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \neq \gamma} m_\beta(r-1) f_\beta(1).$$

As in (4.3.2), we write

$$(4.8.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell'_\gamma &= \ell_\gamma - \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \neq \gamma} m_\beta(r-1) f_\beta \\ \ell'_\beta &= \ell_\beta + m_\beta(r-1) f_\gamma; \quad \beta \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \neq \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Again, taking $\ell'_\beta = \ell_\beta$ for $\beta \notin \mathcal{A}$, we get (4.3.3), so we may conclude by induction. $\square$

Lemma 4.8.6. *The map $\mu(1)$ defined by*

$$(4.8.17) \quad \mu(1)(\epsilon_{ij}) = f_{ij}(1) = \sum_{\ell=1}^q dx_{ij}^{(\ell)} \wedge dy_{ij}^{(\ell)}$$

satisfies the hypotheses of lemma 4.6.1 with $d = q - 1$.

Proof. Let V_{ij} be the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension $2q$ with basis the $dx_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ and the $dy_{ij}^{(\ell)}$. Write $V = \bigoplus V_{ij}$. We have

$$S = \bigwedge V \otimes B \cong \bigotimes_{i,j} (\bigwedge V_{ij}) \otimes B.$$

It is convenient to well-order the pairs ij , writing $f_\alpha(1) = f_{ij}(1) \in \bigwedge V_\alpha$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S/(f_1, \dots, f_{\alpha-1})S &\cong \\ &\bigotimes_{\beta \geq \alpha} (\bigwedge V_\beta) \otimes \bigotimes_{\beta < \alpha} \left((\bigwedge V_\beta) / (f_\beta(1) \bigwedge V_\beta) \right) \otimes B. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from this that multiplication by $f_\alpha(1)$ will be injective in a given degree d if the multiplication map $f_\alpha(1) : \bigwedge V_\alpha \rightarrow \bigwedge V_\alpha$ is injective in degrees $\leq d$. It is clear from the shape of $f_\alpha(1)$ in (4.6.2) that multiplication by $f_\alpha(1)$ will be injective in degrees $\leq q - 1$. $\square$

This completes the proof of proposition 4.8.1 above.

Proposition 4.9.1. *We have for $i < q$*

$$(4.9.1) \quad \pi_* M^0 = \mathcal{O}_T; \quad \pi_* M^1 = \Omega_T^1; \quad R^j \pi_* M^i = (0); \quad j \geq 1$$

The sheaf $\pi_ M^i$ admits an increasing filtration $\text{fil}_\ell(\pi_* M^i)$, $\ell \geq 0$ which is stable under δ and satisfies*

$$(4.9.2) \quad \text{gr}_j(\pi_* M^i) \cong H^j(P, \Omega_{P/T}^j) \otimes \Omega_T^{i-2j} \cong CH^j(P) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Omega_T^{i-2j}$$

for $j \geq 0$. Here $CH^j(P)$ is the Chow group of codimension j algebraic cycles on P . The differential $gr_j(\pi_* M^i) \rightarrow gr_j(\pi_* M^{i+1})$ is the identity on the Chow group tensored with the exterior derivative on Ω_T^* up to sign.

Note that the last assertion in (4.9.1) implies for $i < q$

$$H^*(P, M^i) \cong \begin{cases} H^0(T, \pi_* M^i) & * = 0 \\ 0 & * \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (4.9.2) that the complex $H^0(T, M^*)$ has no cohomology in odd degrees $< q - 1$. (Recall that T has no higher de Rham cohomology). These assertions imply proposition 4.5.1.

Proof of proposition 4.9.1. The first two assertions in (4.9.1) are clear, because $M^0 = \mathcal{O}_P$ and $M^1 = \pi^* \Omega_T^1$. We define

$$G_j = \text{Im} \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-2j} \rightarrow \Omega_{P/T}^{j-1} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-2j+2}, \quad G_0 = M^i$$

coming from the resolution of M^i in 4.8.1. Then $R^a \pi_* G_j = 0$ for $a \neq j$. This proves $R^j \pi_* M^i = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. One has a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^j \pi_* \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \Omega_T^{i-2j} \rightarrow R^j \pi_* G_j \rightarrow R^{j+1} \pi_* G_{j+1} \rightarrow 0$$

with $R^0 \pi_* G_0 = \pi_* M^i$. One defines

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fil}_j(\pi_* M^i) &= \text{inverse image of } R^j \pi_* \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \Omega_T^{i-2j} \\ &\quad \text{via } R^0 \pi_* G_0 \rightarrow R^j \pi_* G_j. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.9.2).

In order to understand the map $gr_j(\pi_* M^i) \rightarrow gr_j(\pi_* M^{i+1})$, we construct a commutative diagram

$$(4.9.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & \Omega_{P/T}^2 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-4} & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & \Omega_{P/T}^1 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-2} & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & \pi^* \Omega_T^i & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & M^i \\ & \downarrow \nabla_\tau & & \downarrow \nabla_\tau & & \downarrow \nabla_\tau & & \downarrow \nabla_\tau \\ \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & \Omega_{P/T}^2 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-3} & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & \Omega_{P/T}^1 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & \pi^* \Omega_T^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} & M^{i+1} \end{array}$$

mapping the resolution of M^i to the resolution of M^{i+1} given by 4.8.1. To this aim recall that one has an exact sequence of complexes

$$(4.9.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow \Omega_P^* \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow 0$$

with

(4.9.5)

$$K^i = \Omega_{P/T}^i \oplus \Omega_{P/T}^{i-1} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^1 \dots \oplus \Omega_{P/T}^1 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-1} \oplus \mu(\Omega_{P/T}^1) \wedge \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-2}$$

Note that the differential $K^{i-j-1} \rightarrow K^{i-j}$ acts as follows

(4.9.6)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-1-2j} \rightarrow \\ & \Omega_{P/T}^{j+1} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-1-2j} \oplus \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-2j} \oplus \Omega_{P/T}^{j-1} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-2j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

To see this, write

(4.9.7)

$$\Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-1-2j} = \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} \pi^{-1} \Omega_T^{i-1-2j}$$

and apply the Leibniz rule with

(4.9.8)

$$d\Omega_{P/T}^1 \subset \Omega_{P/T}^2 \oplus \Omega_{P/T}^1 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^1 \oplus \mu(\Omega_{P/T}^1).$$

The corresponding map $\Omega_{P/T}^1 \rightarrow \mu(\Omega_{P/T}^1)$ is of course μ . We denote by ∇_τ the corresponding map $\Omega_{P/T}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{P/T}^1 \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^1$ and also by ∇_τ the induced map $\Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-1-2j} \rightarrow \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-2j}$. For $\gamma \in \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-1-2j}$, write $d\gamma = \gamma_{j+1} + \nabla_\tau(\gamma) + (\mu \otimes 1)(\gamma)$ with $\gamma_{j+1} \in \Omega_{P/T}^{j+1} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-1-2j}$. The integrability condition $d^2(\gamma) = 0$ in Ω_P^* says that $(\mu \otimes 1)\nabla_\tau(\gamma) = \nabla_\tau(\mu \otimes 1)(\gamma) \in \Omega_{P/T}^{j-1} \otimes \pi^* \Omega_T^{i-j+2}$, upto sign.

Thus $\text{gr}_j \pi_* M^i \rightarrow \text{gr}_j \pi_* M^{i+1}$ is the map

$$R^j \pi_* \nabla_\tau : R^j \pi_* \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \Omega_T^{i-2j} \rightarrow R^j \pi_* \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \Omega_T^{i-2j+1}.$$

Now, $\nabla_\tau = d|K^*$, where d is the differential of Ω_P^* . Let ℓ_i be the rank one subquotients of $\pi^* \mathcal{E}$, with local algebraic transition functions $f_{\alpha,\beta}^i$. Then $R^j \pi_* \Omega_{P/T}^j \otimes \Omega_T^{i-2j}$ is generated over $\mathcal{O}_T$ by elements $\varphi = F \wedge \omega$, with

$$F = d \log f_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d \log f_{\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j}^{i_j}$$

and $\omega \in \Omega_T^{i-2j}$. Thus $d\varphi = (-1)^j F \wedge d\omega$. This finishes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

5. CHERN-SIMONS CLASSES AND THE GRIFFITHS GROUP

5.1. Our objective in this section is to investigate the vanishing of the class $w_n(E, \nabla)$ for a flat bundle E on a smooth, projective variety X over $\mathbb{C}$. We will show that $w_n = 0$ if and only if the n -th chern class $c_n(E)$ vanishes in a “generalized Griffiths group” $\text{Griff}^n(X)$.

Let X be a smooth, quasi-projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. For $Z \subset X$ a closed subvariety and A an abelian group, we write $H_Z^*(X, A)$ for the singular cohomology with supports in Z and values in A . We write

$$H_{\mathcal{Z}^n}^*(X, A) = \varinjlim_{Z \subset X \text{ cod. } n} H_Z^*(X, A).$$

Purity implies that for Z irreducible of codimension n ,

$$H_Z^p(X, A) = \begin{cases} 0 & p < 2n \\ A(-n) & p = 2n \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathbb{Z}(n) = (2\pi i)^n \mathbb{Z}$ and $A(n) := A \otimes \mathbb{Z}(n)$. As a consequence

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \begin{cases} 0 & p < 2n \\ \mathbb{Z}^n(X) & p = 2n \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^n(X)$ is the group of codimension n algebraic cycles on X .

For $m < n$, define the Chow group of codimension n algebraic cycles modulo codimension m equivalence by

(5.1.1)

$$CH_m^n(X) := \text{Image}(\mathbb{Z}^n(X) = H_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}^m}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))).$$

Of course, $CH_0^*(X)$ is the group of cycles modulo homological equivalence. It follows from [2] (7.3) that $CH_{n-1}^n(X)$ is the group of codimension n algebraic cycles modulo **algebraic** equivalence.

Definition 5.1.1. *The generalized Griffiths group $\text{Griff}^n(X)$ is defined to be the kernel of the map $CH_1^n(X) \rightarrow CH_0^n(X)$. In words, the generalized Griffiths group consists of cycles homologous to 0 on X modulo those homologous to 0 on some divisor in X .*

Example 5.1.2. *$\text{Griff}^2(X)$ is the usual Griffiths group of codimension 2 cycles homologous to zero modulo algebraic equivalence.*

5.2. With notation as above, let $\mathcal{H}^p(A)$ denote the Zariski sheaf on X associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto H^p(U_{\text{an}}, A)$, cohomology for the classical (analytic) topology with coefficients in A . The principal object of study in [2] was a spectral sequence

$$(5.2.1) \quad E_2^{p,q}(A) = H^p(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{H}^q(A)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_{\text{an}}, A).$$

associated to the “continuous” map $X_{\text{an}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$. This spectral sequence was shown to coincide from E_2 onward with the “coniveau” spectral sequence

$$(5.2.2) \quad E_1^{p,q}(A) = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^p - \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}} H^{q-p}(x, A) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_{\text{an}}, A).$$

As a consequence of a Gersten resolution for the sheaves $\mathcal{H}^p(A)$, one had

$$(5.2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} H^p(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{H}^q(A)) &= (0) \text{ for } p > q \\ H^n(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}(n))) &\cong CH_{n-1}^n(X). \end{aligned}$$

The E_∞ -filtration $N^*H^*(X_{\text{an}}, A)$ is the filtration by codimension,

$$N^p H^*(X_{\text{an}}, A) = \text{Image} (H_{\mathbb{Z}^p}^*(X_{\text{an}}, A) \rightarrow H^*(X_{\text{an}}, A)).$$

Proposition 5.3.1. *With notation as above, there is an exact sequence*

$$(5.3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(n))/N^1 \rightarrow E_n^{0,2n-1} \xrightarrow{d_n} \text{Griff}^n(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It follows from (5.2.3) that we have

$$(5.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^{2n-1}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(n)) &\twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{0,2n-1} = E_{n+1}^{0,2n-1} \subset E_n^{0,2n-1} \subset \dots \\ &\subset E_2^{0,2n-1} = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(\mathbb{Z}(n))) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.3.3) \quad CH_{n-1}^n(X) = E_2^{n,n} \twoheadrightarrow E_3^{n,n} \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow E_{n+1}^{n,n} = E_\infty^{n,n} \subset H^{2n}(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}(n)).$$

In fact, $E_r^{n,n} \cong CH_{n+1-r}^n(X)$. In particular, $E_n^{n,n} \cong CH_1^n(X)$. To see this, one can, for example, use the theory of exact couples [13] pp. 232 ff. One gets an exact triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_r & \xrightarrow{i_r} & D_r \\ k_r \swarrow & & \swarrow j_r \\ & E_r & \end{array}$$

where in the appropriate degree

$$D_r = \text{Image}(H_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}^{n-r+1}}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))) \cong CH_{n-r+1}^n(X),$$

$i_r = 0$ and j_r is an isomorphism.

The spectral sequence (5.2.1) now yields a diagram with exact rows, proving the proposition.

$$(5.3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))/N^1 & \rightarrow & E_n^{0,2n-1} & \xrightarrow{d_n} & \text{Griff}^n(X) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \cap \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))/N^1 & \rightarrow & E_n^{0,2n-1} & \xrightarrow{d_n} & E_n^{n,n} \rightarrow H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \end{array}$$

□

Proposition 5.4.1. *Let X be smooth and quasi-projective over $\mathbb{C}$. Let (E, ∇) be a vector bundle with an integrable connection on X . Let $n \geq 2$ be given, and let d_n be as in (5.3.4). Let $c_n(E)$ be the n -th Chern class in $\text{Griff}^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then*

- (i) $w_n(E, \nabla) \in E_n^{0,2n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}))$.
- (ii) $d_n(w_n) = c_n(E)$.

Proof. The spectral sequence (5.2.1) in the case $A = \mathbb{C}$ coincides with the “second spectral sequence” of hypercohomology for

$$H^*(X_{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(X_{\text{Zar}}, \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^*).$$

This is convenient for calculating the differentials in (5.2.1). Namely, we consider the complexes for $m \geq n$

$$(5.4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_{n,n}\Omega^* &:= \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{C})[-n] \\ \tau_{m,n}\Omega^* &:= (\Omega_X^m/d\Omega_X^{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{closed}}^n)[-m]; \quad m < n. \end{aligned}$$

We have maps

$$\tau_{0,n}\Omega^* \rightarrow \tau_{1,n}\Omega^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tau_{n,n}\Omega^* \rightarrow \tau_{n,n+1}\Omega^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tau_{n,\infty}\Omega^*,$$

and

$$(5.4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E_r^{0,2n-1} &= \text{Image}(H^{2n-1}(X, \tau_{2n-r+1,2n-1}\Omega^*) \rightarrow \\ &H^{2n-1}(X, \tau_{2n-1,2n-1}\Omega^*) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

There is a diagram of complexes

$$(5.4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \Omega^n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-2} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{closed}}^{2n-1}] & \xrightarrow{a} & \Omega^\infty \mathcal{K}_n^m \\ b \downarrow & & c \downarrow \\ \tau_{n+1,2n-1}\Omega^*[n-1] & \xrightarrow{e} & \tau_{2n-1,\infty}\Omega^*[n-1], \end{array}$$

where $\Omega^\infty \mathcal{K}_n^m$ is the complex $\mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \Omega^n \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$. We have

$$(5.4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} c_n(E, \nabla) &\in \mathbb{H}^n(X, \Omega^\infty \mathcal{K}_n^m) \\ c(c_n(E, \nabla)) &= w_n(E, \nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^{2n-1}(X, \tau_{2n-1,\infty}\Omega^*) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The map a is the inclusion of a subcomplex, and the quotient has no cohomology sheaves in degrees $< n+1$, so a is an isomorphism on hypercohomology in degree n . It follows that $w_n(E, \nabla)$ lies in the image of the map e in (5.4.3). By (5.4.2), this image is $E_n^{0,2n-1}$.

To verify $d_n(w_n) = c_n(E)$, write $\bar{\Omega}^\infty \mathcal{K}_n^m$ for the complex

$$\mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \Omega^n/d\Omega^{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots,$$

and let $\bar{c}_n(E, \nabla) \in \mathbb{H}^n(X, \bar{\Omega}^\infty \mathcal{K}_n^m)$ be the image of $c_n(E, \nabla)$. Consider the distinguished triangle of complexes

$$(5.4.5) \quad \tau_{n,2n-2}\Omega^*[n-1] \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}^\infty \mathcal{K}_n^m \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{K}_n^m \oplus \tau_{2n-1,\infty}\Omega^*[n-1]$$

We have by definition $\alpha(\bar{c}_n(E, \nabla)) = (c_n(E), w_n(E))$, so, writing ∂ for the boundary map,

$$(5.4.6) \quad \partial(c_n(E)) = -\partial(w_n(E, \nabla)) \in \mathbb{H}^{2n}(X, \tau_{n,2n-2}\Omega^*).$$

Note that the boundary map on $\mathcal{K}_n^m$ factors through the dlog map $\mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n$. Thus $\partial c_n(E)$ is the image of the Chern class. On the other hand, by (5.2.3) we have

$$\mathbb{H}^{2n}(X, \Omega^*) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2n}(X, \tau_{n,\infty}\Omega^*),$$

from which it follows by standard spectral sequence theory that the image of the map

$$H^{2n}(X, \tau_{n,n}\Omega^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2n}(X, \tau_{n,2n-2}\Omega^*)$$

coincides with $E_n^{n,n}$, and that the boundary map

$$\delta : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}) \cong \mathbb{H}^{2n-1}(X, \tau_{2n-1,\infty}\Omega^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2n}(X, \tau_{n,2n-2}\Omega^*)$$

coincides with d_n from the statement of the proposition on $\delta^{-1}(E_n^{n,n}) = E_n^{0,2n-1}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Our next objective is to realize the sequence (5.3.1) as an exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures. To avoid complications, we replace $\mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Q}$ throughout. More precisely, we work with filtering direct limits of finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$ -mixed Hodge structures, where the transition maps are maps of mixed Hodge structures.

Lemma 5.4.2. *The spectral sequence (5.2.1) with $A = \mathbb{Q}(n)$ can be interpreted as a spectral sequence in the category of mixed Hodge structures.*

Proof. The spectral sequence (5.2.2) can be deduced from an exact couple ([2], p.188)

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}^p}^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}^{p-1}}^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}^{p-1}/\mathbb{Z}^p}^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

These groups clearly have infinite dimensional mixed Hodge structures and the maps are morphisms of mixed Hodge structures. The lemma follows easily, since (5.2.1) coincides with the above from E_2 onward. $\square$

Remark 5.4.3. *The groups $E_r^{n,n}$ are all quotients of*

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n)) \cong \bigoplus_{z \in X^n} \mathbb{Q}$$

so these groups all have trivial Hodge structures.

Proposition 5.5.1. *The Chern-Simons class $w_n(E, \nabla) \in E_n^{0,2n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ lies in F^0 (zeroth piece of the Hodge filtration) for the Hodge structure defined by $E_n^{0,2n-1}(\mathbb{Q}(n))$.*

Proof. We have $E_n^{0,2n-1} \subset E_2^{0,2n-1} \subset H^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}(X), \mathbb{C})$, where the group on the right is defined as the limit over Zariski open sets. Thus, it suffices to work “at the generic point”. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the category of triples (U, Y, π) with Y smooth and projective, $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ a birational morphism of schemes, and $U \subset Y$ Zariski open such that Y_U is a divisor with normal crossings and $U \rightarrow \pi(U)$ is an isomorphism. Using resolution of singularities, one sees easily that

$$H^n(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(X)), \mathbb{C}) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathcal{S}} H^n(Y, \Omega_Y^*(\log(Y - U))).$$

The Hodge filtration on the left is induced in the usual way from the first spectral sequence of hypercohomology on the right.

Lemma 5.5.2. *For $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ let $j_\alpha : U_\alpha \hookrightarrow Y_\alpha$ be the inclusion. Then*

$$\varinjlim_{\mathcal{S}} H^n(Y_\alpha, j_{\alpha,*} \mathcal{K}_{n,U_\alpha}^m) = (0), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof of lemma. Given $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{S}$ and $z \in H^n(Y, j_* \mathcal{K}_{n,U}^m)$, let $k : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(X)) \rightarrow Y$ be the generic point. We have $H^n(Y, k_* \mathcal{K}_{n,\mathbb{C}(X)}^m) = (0)$ since the sheaf is constant, so there exists $V \subset U$ open of finite type such that writing $\ell : V \rightarrow Y$, z dies in $H^n(Y, \ell_* \mathcal{K}_{n,V}^m)$. Let $m : V \rightarrow Z$ represent an object of $\mathcal{S}$ with Z dominating Y . We have a triangle

$$(5.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^n(Y, j_* \mathcal{K}_{n,U}^m) & \rightarrow & H^n(Z, m_* \mathcal{K}_{n,V}^m) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & H^n(Y, \ell_* \mathcal{K}_{n,V}^m) & \end{array}$$

from which it follows that $z \mapsto 0$ in $\varinjlim_{\mathcal{S}} H^n(Y_\alpha, j_{\alpha,*} \mathcal{K}_{n,U_\alpha}^m)$. $\square$

Returning to the proof of proposition 5.5.1, write $D_\alpha = Y_\alpha - U_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$. We see from the lemma that the map labeled a below is surjective:

$$(5.5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c_n(E, \nabla) & \in & \mathbb{H}^n(X, \Omega^\infty \mathcal{K}_n^m) \\ & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{H}^n(Y_\alpha, j_{\alpha,*} \mathcal{K}_{n,U_\alpha}^m) & \rightarrow & \Omega^n(\log(D_\alpha)) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \nearrow a & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(Y_\alpha, \Omega^n(\log(D_\alpha))) & \rightarrow \cdots & \xrightarrow{b} H^{2n-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(X)), \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

Since the image of b is F^0 for the Hodge filtration on $H^{2n-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(X)), \mathbb{C})$, and since the composition of vertical arrows maps $c_n(E, \nabla)$ to the restriction of $w_n(E, \nabla)$ at the generic point, the proposition is proved. $\square$

Proposition 5.6.1. *The Chern-Simons class $w_n(E, \nabla) \in E_n^{0,2n-1}(\mathbb{Q}(n))$.*

Proof. The following diagram is commutative

$$(5.6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{H}^n(X, \Omega^\infty \mathcal{K}_n^m) & \rightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C})) & \rightarrow & H^{2n-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(X)), \mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \varphi(3.9.1(3)) & & & & \downarrow \\ H^{2n-1}(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & H^{2n-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(X)), \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \end{array}$$

We know from [7] and proposition 3.10.1 above that

$$\varphi(c_n(E, \nabla)) = c_n^{\mathrm{an}}(E, \nabla),$$

and, using the deep theorem of Reznikov [18], that this class is torsion. In particular, the image of $c_n(E, \nabla)$ on the upper right lies in $H^{2n-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(X)), \mathbb{Q}(n))$. As a matter of fact, in 5.6.2, we will only use that $w_n(E, \nabla) \in E_n^{0,2n-1}(\mathbb{R}(n))$. For this we don't need the full strength of [18], but only that $c_n^{\mathrm{an}}(E, \nabla) = 0 \in H^{2n-1}(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}(n))$, which is a consequence of Simpson's theorem [19] asserting that (E, ∇) deforms to a $\mathbb{C}$ variation of Hodge structure. $\square$

Theorem 5.6.2. *Let X be a smooth, projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Let E be a vector bundle on X , and let ∇ be an integrable connection on E . Then $w_n(E, \nabla) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C}))$ vanishes if and only if the cycle class $c_n(E)$ is trivial in $\mathrm{Griff}^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$(5.6.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^{2n-1}(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Q}(n))/N^1 \rightarrow E_n^{0,2n-1}(\mathbb{Q}(n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Griff}^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Write H for the group on the left. It is pure of weight -1 , so $H(\mathbb{Q}) \cap F^0 H(\mathbb{C}) = (0)$. It follows that $w_n(E, \nabla) = 0$ if and only if its image $c_n(E)$ in $\mathrm{Griff}^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ vanishes. $\square$

The following corollary is a simple application of the theorem to the example (0.2) discussed in the introduction.

Corollary 5.6.3. *Let E , X , ∇ be as above. Assume E has rank 2, and that the determinant bundle is trivial, with the trivial connection. Let $U \subset X$ be affine open such that $E|_U$ is trivial, and let $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ be the connection matrix. Then $c_2(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is algebraically equivalent to 0 on X if and only if there exists a meromorphic 2-form η on X satisfying $d\eta = \alpha \wedge d\alpha = \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma$.*

6. LOGARITHMIC POLES

In this section we consider a normal crossing divisor $D \subset X$ on a smooth variety X , the inclusion $j : X - D \rightarrow X$, and a bundle E , together with a flat connection $\nabla : E \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes E$ with logarithmic

poles along D . The characteristic of the ground field k is still 0. Finally recall from [2] that one has an exact sequence

$$(6.0.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^j) \rightarrow H^0(X - D, \mathcal{H}^j) \rightarrow \oplus_i \text{res} H^0(k(D_i), \mathcal{H}^{j-1})$$

Theorem 6.1.1. *Let (E, ∇, D) be a flat connection with logarithmic poles. Then*

$$(6.1.1) \quad w_n(E, \nabla) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}) \subset H^0(X - D, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}) = H^0(X, j_* \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}).$$

Proof. By 6.0.3, one just has to compute the residues of $w_n(E, \nabla)$ along generic points of D . So one may assume that the local equation of ∇ is $A = B \frac{dx}{x} + C$, where B is a matrix of regular functions, x is the local equation of a smooth component of D , and C is a matrix of regular one forms. Furthermore, as $dA = A^2 = \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$, the formulae of theorem 2.2.1 say that the local shape of $w_n(E, \nabla)$ is $\text{Tr} \lambda A (dA)^{n-1} = \lambda \text{Tr} (B \frac{dx}{x} + C) (dB \frac{dx}{x} + dC)^{n-1}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. So upto coefficient one has to compute

$$(6.1.2) \quad \text{Tr Res} (B \frac{dx}{x} + C) ((dC)^{n-1} + \sum_{a+b=n-2} (dC)^a dB \frac{dx}{x} (dC)^b) = \\ \text{Tr Res} [C \sum_{a+b=n-2} (dC)^a dB (dC)^b + B (dC)^{n-1}] \frac{dx}{x}.$$

On the other hand, the integrability condition reads

$$(dB - (CB - BC)) \frac{dx}{x} + dC - C^2 = 0,$$

from which one deduces

$$(6.1.3) \quad dC \frac{dx}{x} = C^2 \frac{dx}{x}$$

$$(6.1.4) \quad \text{Res} (dB - (CB - BC)) \frac{dx}{x} = 0$$

Applying (6.1.3) to (6.1.2), we reduce to calculating

$$(6.1.5) \quad \text{Tr Res} [\sum_{a+b=n-2} (dC)^a C dB (dC)^b + B (dC)^{n-1}] \frac{dx}{x}$$

Since we are only interested in calculating (6.1.5) modulo exact forms, we can use $d(CB) = dCB - CdB$ and move copies of dC to the right in (6.1.5) under the trace. The problem becomes to show

$$(6.1.6) \quad \text{Tr Res} B (dC)^{n-1} \frac{dx}{x}$$

is exact. It follows from (6.1.4) that

$$(6.1.7) \quad \text{Tr Res} C^{2n-3} dB \frac{dx}{x} = \text{Tr Res} [C^{2n-2} B - C^{2n-3} BC] \frac{dx}{x}$$

Bringing the C to the left in the last term changes the sign, so we get by (6.1.3)

$$(6.1.8) \quad \text{Tr Res} (dC)^{n-2} C dB \frac{dx}{x} = \text{Tr Res} C^{2n-3} dB \frac{dx}{x} = \text{Tr Res} 2(dC)^{n-1} B \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Thus

$$(6.1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr Res} (dC)^{n-1} B \frac{dx}{x} &= \text{Tr Res} (dC)^{n-2} (C dB - dCB) \frac{dx}{x} = \\ &\quad - \text{Tr Res} d[C(dC)^{n-3} d(CB) \frac{dx}{x}]. \end{aligned}$$

This form is exact, so we are done. $\square$

6.2. We want now to understand the image of $w_n(E, \nabla)$ under the map d_n defined in 5.3.1. Of course 5.4.1 says that $d_n(w_n((E, \nabla)|(X - D))) = c_n(E)$.

Definition 6.2.1. (see [10], Appendix B): Let (E, ∇) be a flat connection with logarithmic poles along D , with residue

$$\Gamma = \oplus \Gamma_s \in \oplus_s H^0(D_s, \text{End} E|_{D_s}).$$

One defines

$$(6.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} N_i^{CH}(\Gamma) &= (-1)^i \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_s = i} \binom{i}{\alpha} \text{Tr}(\Gamma_1^{\alpha_1} \circ \dots \circ \Gamma_s^{\alpha_s}) \cdot [D_1]^{\alpha_1} \dots [D_s]^{\alpha_s} \\ &\in CH^i(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

One defines as usual the corresponding symmetric functions $c_i^{CH}(\Gamma) \in CH^i(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ as polynomial with $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients in the Newton functions $N_i^{CH}(\Gamma)$. For example

$$(6.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} c_2^{CH}(\Gamma) &= \frac{1}{2} [(\sum_s \text{Tr}(\Gamma_s) \cdot D_s)^2 - \\ &\quad 2(\sum_s \text{Tr}(\Gamma_s \cdot \Gamma_s) \cdot D_s^2 + 2 \sum_{s < t} \text{Tr}(\Gamma_s \cdot \Gamma_t) D_s \cdot D_t)] \\ &\in CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $c_2(\Gamma)$ its image in $H^2(X, \Omega_{X,cl}^2)$ and also by $c_2(\Gamma)$ its image in $H^2(X, \mathcal{H}_{DR}^2)$.

Note that these invariants vanish when the connection has nilpotent residues Γ_s . (This condition forces the local monodromies around the components of D to be unipotent (see [5])).

Theorem 6.2.2. *Assume k has characteristic zero and X is proper. Then*

$$(6.2.3) \quad c_2(E) - c_2(\Gamma) = d_2(w_2(E, \nabla)) \in H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^2).$$

Proof. In order to simplify the notations, we denote by $c_2(\Gamma)$ the same expression in $CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $\oplus_s CH^1(D_s) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $\oplus_s F^1 H_{DR}^2(D_s)$ etc., where we always distribute $2D_s \cdot D_t$ for $s < t$ as one $D_s \cdot D_t$ on D_s and one on D_t .

We denote by $\pi : Q \rightarrow X$ the flag bundle of E . As π^* induces an isomorphism

$$(6.2.4) \quad \frac{H^2(X, d\Omega_X^1)}{H^1(X, \mathcal{H}^2)} = \frac{H^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1)}{N^1 H^3(X)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{H^3(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q \rightarrow \Omega_Q^1)}{N^1 H^3(Q)}$$

and an injection $H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^2) \rightarrow H^2(Q, \mathcal{H}^2)$, it is enough to prove the compatibility on Q via the exact sequence ([2])

$$(6.2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{H^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1)}{N^1 H^3(X)} \rightarrow H^2(X, \Omega_{X, \text{clsd}}^2) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^2).$$

Write $D'_s = \pi^* D_s$, and consider $(\mathcal{O}(D'_s), \nabla_s) \in \mathbb{H}^1(Q, \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \Omega_Q^1(\log D'_s)_{\text{clsd}})$, where ∇_s is the canonical connection with residue -1 along D'_s .

We define a product

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{K}_i^m \rightarrow (\pi^* \Omega_X^i(\log D))_{\tau d}) \times (\mathcal{K}_j^m \rightarrow (\pi^* \Omega_X^j(\log D))_{\tau d}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\bullet} (\mathcal{K}_{i+j}^m \rightarrow (\pi^* \Omega_X^{i+j}(\log D))_{\tau d}) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$(6.2.6) \quad x \cdot x' = \begin{cases} x \cup x' & \text{if } \deg x' = 0 \\ \tau d \log x \wedge x' & \text{if } \deg x = 0 \text{ and } \deg x' = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Here $\tau d : \pi^* \Omega_X^i(\log D) \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^{i+1}(\log D)$ comes from the splitting $\tau : \Omega_Q^1(\log D') \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^1(\log D)$. See proposition 4.4.1 as well as [7] and [8].) One verifies that

$$(6.2.7) \quad d(x \cdot x') = dx \cdot x' + (-1)^{\deg x} x \cdot dx',$$

the only non trivial contribution left and right being for $\deg x = \deg x' = 0$.

This product defines elements (W_1 is the weight filtration)

(6.2.8)

$$\epsilon_{st} = (\mathcal{O}(D'_s), \nabla_s) \cdot (\mathcal{O}(D'_t), \nabla_t) \in \mathbb{H}^2(Q, \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow W_1 \Omega_Q^2(\log(D'_s + D'_t))_{cl})$$

which map to $D'_s \cdot D'_t$ in $CH^2(Q)$. Moreover $\text{Res } \epsilon_{st}$ is the class of $D'_s \cdot D'_t$ sitting diagonally in

$$F^1 H_{DR}^2(D'_s) \oplus F^1 H_{DR}^2(D'_t)$$

if $s \neq t$; or in $F^1 H_{DR}^2(D'_s)$ if $s = t$.

Next we want to define a cocycle $N_2(\pi^*(E, \nabla))$.

Let $h_{ij}(= h)$ be the upper triangular transition functions of $E|_Q$ adapted to the tautological flag E_i , and write B_i for the local connection matrix in $\Omega_Q^1(\log D')$, $D' = \pi^{-1}D$. Then τB_i is upper triangular, and $\tau dB_i = d\tau B_i$ has zero's on the diagonal [7], (0.7), (2.7). Let

$$w_i = \text{Tr}(B_i dB_i).$$

Using $\text{Tr}(dh h^{-1})^3 = 0$, one computes that $w_i - w_j = -3\text{Tr } d(h^{-1} dB_j)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } h^{-1} dB_j &= \text{Tr } h^{-1} B_i h B_j \\ (6.2.9) \quad \text{Tr } h_{ik}^{-1} dh_{ij} dh_{jk} &= \text{Tr } h_{ik}^{-1} (B_i h_{ij} - h_{ij} B_j) (B_j h_{jk} - h_{jk} B_j) \\ &= \delta \text{Tr } (B_i h B_j h^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here δ is the Cech coboundary. Writing $\mathcal{C}^i$ for Cech i -cochains, we may define

$$\begin{aligned} 3N_2(\pi^*(E, \nabla)) &= (3 \sum_{a=0}^r \xi_{ij}^a \cup \xi_{jk}^a, -3\text{Tr } (h^{-1} dB_j), w_i) \in \\ (6.2.10) \quad (\mathcal{C}^2(Q, \mathcal{K}_2) \times \mathcal{C}^1(Q, \Omega_Q^2(\log D')) \times \mathcal{C}^0(Q, \Omega_Q^3(\log D')))_{d+\delta} \end{aligned}$$

where $(\xi_{ij}^1, \dots, \xi_{ij}^r)$ is the diagonal part of h_{ij} . This defines $3N_2(\pi^*(E, \nabla))$ as a class in $\mathbb{H}^2(Q, \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \Omega_Q^2(\log D') \rightarrow \dots)$ which maps to

$$\begin{aligned} 3\tau N_2(\pi^*(E, \nabla)) &= (3 \sum_{a=1}^r \xi_{ij}^a \cup \xi_{jk}^a, 3 \sum_{a=1}^r \omega_i^a \wedge (\delta \omega^a)_{ij}, 0) \\ (6.2.11) \quad &\in \mathbb{H}^2(Q, \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^2(\log D')_{\tau d}) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\omega_i^1, \dots, \omega_i^r)$ is the diagonal part of τB_i .

As the image of $\tau N_2(\pi^*(E, \nabla))$ in $H^2(Q, \mathcal{K}_2)$ is just the second Newton class of E , the argument of [8], (1.7) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.2.12) \quad N_2(E, \nabla) &:= \tau N_2(\pi^*(E, \nabla)) \in \\ &\mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \Omega_X^2(\log D) \rightarrow \dots) \subset \mathbb{H}^2(Q, \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_X^2(\log D) \rightarrow \dots). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $w(B) = \text{Tr } BdB \in W_2\Omega_Q^3(\log D')$ (weight filtration) so the cocycle

$$(6.2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 2x = & -N_2(\pi^*(E, \nabla)) + c_1(\pi^*(E, \nabla))^2 = \\ & (-\text{Tr } (h^{-1}dh)^2 + \text{Tr } h^{-1}dh \cdot \text{Tr } h^{-1}dh, \\ & \text{Tr } (h^{-1}dhB) - \text{Tr } h^{-1}dh \cdot \text{Tr } B, -\frac{w(B)}{3}) \end{aligned}$$

defines a class in

$$\mathbb{H}^2(Q, \Omega_{cl}^2 \rightarrow W_1\Omega_Q^2(\log D') \rightarrow W_2\Omega_Q^3(\log D')_{cl}).$$

One has an exact sequence

$$(6.2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow & \mathbb{H}^2(Q, \Omega_{cl}^2 \rightarrow W_1\Omega_Q^2(\log D') \rightarrow W_1\Omega_Q^3(\log D')_{cl}) \\ \rightarrow & \mathbb{H}^2(Q, \Omega_{cl}^2 \rightarrow W_1\Omega_Q^2(\log D') \rightarrow W_2\Omega_Q^3(\log D')_{cl}) \\ \xrightarrow{\text{residue}} & \oplus_{s < t} H^0(D'_{st}, \Omega_{D'_{st}, cl}^1). \end{aligned}$$

As D'_{st} is proper smooth, one has

$$H^0(D'_{st}, \Omega_{D'_{st}, cl}^1) \subset H^0(D'_{st}, \mathcal{H}^1) = H^1(D'_{st}).$$

The residue of $2x$ along D'_{st} is just the residue of $-\frac{1}{3}w(B)$ along D'_{st} via

$$(6.2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} H^0(Q, \mathcal{H}^3(\log D')) &= H^0(Q - D', \mathcal{H}^3) \\ \rightarrow & \oplus_s H^0(D'_s - \cup_{t \neq s} D'_t, \mathcal{H}^2) \\ \rightarrow & \oplus_{s < t} H^0(D'_{st}, \mathcal{H}^1), \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes. Therefore

$$(6.2.16) \quad 2x \in \mathbb{H}^2(Q, \Omega_{cl}^2 \rightarrow W_1\Omega_Q^2(\log D') \rightarrow W_1\Omega_Q^3(\log D')_{cl}).$$

Its residue in $\oplus_s H^1(D'_s, \Omega_{D'_s}^1)$ is $(\text{Tr } (h^{-1}dh \cdot \Gamma) - \text{Tr } h^{-1}dh \cdot \text{Tr } \Gamma)$. By [10], Appendix B, one has $-h^{-1}dh = \sigma(D') \cdot \Gamma$ in $H^1(Q, \Omega_Q^1 \otimes \text{End } E)$ where $\sigma(D')$ is the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_Q^1 \rightarrow \Omega_Q^1(\log D') \rightarrow \oplus_s \mathcal{O}_{D'_s} \rightarrow 0.$$

One has

1. $-D'_s \cdot D'_s$ is the push down extension of $\sigma(D'_s)$ by $\Omega_Q^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{D'_s}^1$ in $H^1(Q, \Omega_{D'_s}^1)$
2. $-D'_s \cdot D'_t$ is the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{D'_t}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{D'_t}^1(\log(D'_s \cap D'_t)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D'_s \cap D'_t} \rightarrow 0$$

in $H^1(Q, \Omega_{D'_t}^1)$.

It follows that residue $x = c_2(\Gamma)$ in $\oplus_s H^1(D'_s, \Omega_{D'_s}^1)$.

For appropriate $\lambda_{st} \in k$ (the coefficients of $c_2(\Gamma)$), $c_2(\Gamma) = \text{residue} \sum \lambda_{st} \epsilon_{st}$ in $\oplus_s H^1(D'_s, \Omega_{D'_s}^1)$. So one has

$$(6.2.17) \quad \text{residue}(x - \sum \lambda_{st} \epsilon_{st}) \in \oplus_s F^2 H^2(D'_s)$$

Again, since residue $(x - \sum \lambda_{st} \epsilon_{st}) = \text{residue } x = 0$ in $\oplus_s H^0(D'_s, \mathcal{H}^2) \subset \oplus_s H^2(k(D'_s))$, one has that in $\oplus_s F^1 H^2(D'_s)$

$$(6.2.18) \quad \text{residue}(x - \sum \lambda_{st} \epsilon_{st}) \in \oplus_s F^2 H^2(D'_s) \cap H^1(D'_s, \mathcal{H}^1) = 0.$$

This shows that residue $(x - \sum \lambda_{st} \epsilon_{st}) = 0$ in $\oplus_s F^1 H^2(D'_s)$, that is

$$(6.2.19) \quad \frac{w_2(E, \nabla) = (x - \sum \lambda_{st} \epsilon_{st}) \in \mathbb{H}^2(Q, \Omega_{cl}^2 \rightarrow \Omega^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{cl}^3) = H^0(Q, \mathcal{H}^3)}{\text{Im } \oplus_s H^1(D'_s)}$$

and maps to

$$(6.2.20) \quad c_2(E) - c_2(\Gamma) \text{ in } H^2(Q, \mathcal{H}^2).$$

□

6.3. question. We know (see [10], Appendix B) that on X proper, the image of $c_n(\Gamma)$ in the de Rham cohomology $H_{DR}^{2n}(X)$ is the Chern class $c_n^{DR}(E)$. This inclines to ask whether

$$c_n(E) - c_n(\Gamma) = d_n(w_n(E, \nabla)) \in \text{Griff}^n(X).$$

6.4.

Theorem 6.4.1. *Let (E, ∇) be a flat connection with logarithmic poles along a normal crossing divisor D on a smooth proper variety X over $\mathbb{C}$. When $(E, \nabla)|(X - D)$ is a complex variation of Hodge structure, then $w_2(E, \nabla) = 0$ if and only if $c_2(E) - c_2(\Gamma) = 0 \in H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^2)$. When furthermore $(E, \nabla)|(X - D)$ is a Gauß-Manin system, then $w_2(E, \nabla) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Q}(2)))$, and if it has nilpotent residues along the components of D , then $w_2(E, \nabla) = 0$ if and only if $c_2(E) = 0 \in H^2(X, \mathcal{H}^2)$.*

Proof. As in proposition 5.5.1, one has $w_n(E, \nabla) \in F^0$. In fact, the proof does not use that ∇ is everywhere regular, but only that $w_n(E, \nabla)$ comes from a class in $\mathbb{H}^n(Y, \mathcal{K}_n^m \rightarrow \Omega_Y^n(\log(Y - U) \rightarrow \dots))$ on some $(U, Y, \pi) \in \mathcal{S}$. Further, if $(E, \nabla)|(X - D)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ variation of Hodge structure, then $w_n(E, \nabla) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(\mathbb{R}(n)))$ as

$$w_n(E, \nabla)|(X - D) \in H^0(X - D, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}(\mathbb{R}(n)))$$

(see proof of proposition 5.6.1). When $(E, \nabla)|(X-D)$ is a Gauß-Manin system, then again one argues exactly as in the proof of proposition 5.6.1 using [4] to show $w_2(E, \nabla) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Q}(2)))$. Finally $c_2(\Gamma) = 0$ when the residues of the connection are nilpotent. $\square$

7. EXAMPLES

7.1. Let X be a good compactification of the moduli space of curves of genus g with some level, such that a universal family $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X$ exists. Let (E, ∇) be the Gauß-Manin system $R^1\varphi_*\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/X}^\bullet(\log\infty)$. Then Mumford [16], (5.3) shows that $c_i^{CH}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ in $CH^i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for $i \geq 1$, so a fortiori $c_i(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ in the Griffiths group. As ∇ has nilpotent residues (the local monodromies at infinity of the Gauß-Manin system are unipotent and (E, ∇) is Deligne's extension [5]), one applies theorem 6.4.1.

In particular, for any semi-stable family of curves $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ over a field k of characteristic 0,

$$w_n(R^1\varphi_*\Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet(\log\infty)) = 0,$$

for $n = 2$ and for $n \geq 2$ if φ is smooth (or if the question (6.3) has a positive response).

7.2. Let X be a level cover of the moduli space of abelian varieties such that a universal family $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ exists. The Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem applied to a principal polarization L on $\mathcal{A}$ together with Mumford's theorem that

$$\varphi_*L^n = M \otimes \text{trivial}$$

for some rank 1 bundle M , imply that $c_i^{CH}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $E = R^1\varphi_*\Omega_{\mathcal{A}/X}^\bullet|_{X_0}$, where X_0 is the smooth locus of φ . This result was communicated to us by G. van der Geer [12]. In particular, for any smooth family $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ of abelian varieties with X smooth proper over a field of characteristic 0, $w_n(R^1\varphi_*\Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$.

7.3. Let $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth proper family of surfaces over X smooth. The Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem, as applied by Mumford in [16], implies that the Chern character verifies

$$\text{ch}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{i=4} (-1)^i R^i\varphi_*\Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet\right) \in CH^0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset CH^\bullet(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

As $R^1\varphi_*\Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet$ is dual to $R^3\varphi_*\Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet$, the two previous examples show that $c_i(R^2\varphi_*\Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet) = 0$ in $CH^i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for $i \geq 1$. This implies $w_n(R^2\varphi_*\Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$ when X is proper.

7.4. As shown in [9], $w_n(E, \nabla) = 0$ in characteristic zero when (E, ∇) trivializes on a finite (not necessarily smooth) covering of X .

7.5. Let $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth proper family defined over a perfect field k of sufficiently large characteristic. Let (E, ∇) be the Gauß-Manin system $R^a \varphi_* \Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet$. Consider $w_n(E, \nabla) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1})$, which is the restriction of the corresponding class in characteristic zero when φ comes from a smooth proper family in characteristic zero. Assume this. As $H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1}) \subset H^0(k(X), \mathcal{H}^{2n-1})$, we may assume that $R^a \varphi_* \Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet$ is locally free and compatible with base change.

Via the diagram

$$(7.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{F_{\text{rel}}} & Y^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & Y \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \varphi^{(p)} & & \downarrow \varphi \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{F} & X \end{array}$$

where F is the absolute Frobenius of X , $\varphi^{(p)} = \varphi \times_X F$, F_{rel} is the relative Frobenius, one knows by [15], (7.4) that the Gauß-Manin system $R^a \varphi_* \Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet$ has a Gauß-Manin stable filtration $R^a \varphi_*^{(p)} F_{\text{rel}}(\tau_{\leq a} \Omega_{Y/X}^\bullet)$, such that the restriction of ∇ to the graded pieces $F^* R^{a-i} \varphi_* \Omega_{Y/X}^i$ is the trivial connection.

In particular, the graded pieces are locally generated by flat sections and $A_i = 0$. So by additivity of the classes $c_i(E, \nabla)$, $w_n(E, \nabla) = \theta_n(E, \nabla) = 0$.

In particular, the classes w_n (Gauß-Manin) provide examples of classes $w \in H^0(X, \mathcal{H}^{2n-1})$ whose restriction modulo p vanish for all but finitely many p . This should imply that $w = 0$ according to [17].

REFERENCES

- [1] Atiyah, M.: Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **85** (1956), 181-207
- [2] Bloch, S., Ogus, A.: Gersten's conjecture and the homology of schemes, Ann. Sc. ENS, 4⁰ série **7** (1974), 181 - 202
- [3] Chern, S.-S.; Simons, J.: Characteristic forms and geometric invariants, Ann. of Math. **99** (1974), 48-68
- [4] Corlette; K., Esnault, H.: Classes of local systems of $\mathbb{Q}$ hermitian vector spaces, preprint (1995)
- [5] Deligne, P.: Équations Différentielles à Points Singuliers Réguliers, Springer Lecture Notes **163** (1970)
- [6] Deligne, P.: Théorie de Hodge II, Publ. Math. IHES **40** (1972), 5 - 57
- [7] Esnault, H.: Characteristic classes of flat bundles, Topology **27** (1988), 323 - 352

- [8] Esnault, H.: Characteristic classes of flat bundles, II, *K-Theory* **6** (1992), 45 - 56
- [9] Esnault, H.: Coniveau of Classes of Flat Bundles trivialized on a Finite Smooth Covering of a Complex Manifold, *K-Theory* **8** (1994), 483 - 497
- [10] Esnault, H., Viehweg, E.: Logarithmic De Rham complexes and vanishing theorems, *Inv. math.* **86** (1986), 161 - 194
- [11] Grothendieck, A.: EGA IV, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* No.**20** (1964)
- [12] van der Geer, G.: private communication
- [13] Hu, S.: *Homotopy Theory*, Academic Press (1959)
- [14] Jouanolou, J.-P.: Une suite exacte de Mayer-Vietoris en K -théorie algébrique, in *Algebraic K-theory I*, Springer Lecture Notes 341, Springer-Verlag, (1973)
- [15] Katz, N.: Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: applications of a result of Turritin, *Publ. Math. IHES* **39** (1970), 175 - 232
- [16] Mumford, D.: Towards an Enumerative Geometry of the Moduli Space of Curves, in *Arithmetic and Geometry*, volume II, Papers dedicated to I. R. Shafarevich, Birkhäuser, *Progress in Mathematics* **36** (1983), 271 - 327
- [17] Ogus, A.: Differentials of the second kind and the conjugate spectral sequence (preprint)
- [18] Reznikov, A.: All regulators of flat bundles are flat, *Annals of Math.* **139** (1994), 1 - 14
- [19] Simpson, C.: Higgs bundles and local systems, *Publ. Math. IHES* **75** (1992), 5-95
- [20] Witten, E.: Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989), 351 - 399

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637

E-mail address: bloch@math.uchicago.edu

UNIVERSITÄT ESSEN, FB 6, MATHEMATIK, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: esnault@uni-essen.de